

Why the formula
 $\langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{AA}/d\eta \rangle = \langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{pp}/d\eta \rangle [xN_{\text{part}}/2 + (1-x)N_{\text{coll}}]$
should be deprecated

Abstract for Quark Matter 2012 poster

Michael J. Tannenbaum ^{a)}

^{a)} Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

The fact that the multiplicity density in A+A collisions increases faster than the number of participants has led to the popular formula $\langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{AA}/d\eta \rangle = \langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{pp}/d\eta \rangle [xN_{\text{part}}/2 + (1-x)N_{\text{coll}}]$ with the implication that point-like hard-scattering contributes to the total charge multiplicity or $\sum E_T$ distributions. For $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV $\bar{p} - p$ collisions, the UA2 collaboration [1] measured that the hard-scattering component of $\sum E_T$ distributions only becomes apparent at the level of $\sim 1/500$ the total cross-section, clearly indicating that the contribution of hard-process to the multiplicity and $\sum E_T$ distributions is negligible. The universal behavior of $\langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{AA}/(0.5N_{\text{part}}d\eta) \rangle$ as a function of N_{part} at RHIC and LHC over the range $7 \leq \sqrt{s_{NN}} \leq 2760$ GeV, in spite of the dramatic increase in the ratio of $N_{\text{coll}}/N_{\text{part}}$ due to the increasing N-N interaction cross section, is another indication. Finally, an E_T distribution which satisfies the popular formula for $\langle dN_{\text{ch}}^{AA}/d\eta \rangle$ will be demonstrated and shown to look nothing like any measured $\sum E_T$ distribution. A more reasonable nuclear geometrical description has been given previously [2, 3, 4] and will be tested with recent data.

References

- [1] UA2 Collab., Phys. Lett. **B165** (1985) 441-448.
- [2] S. Eremin and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. **C67** (2003) 064905.
- [3] B. De and S. Bhattacharyya, Phys. Rev. **C71** (2005) 024903.
- [4] R. Nouicer, Eur. Phys. J. **C49** (2007) 281.