

Quantum Chromo many-body Dynamics probed in the hard sector at RHIC

Séminaire LAPP-Annecy

Annecy, Savoie - France, April 7, 2004

David d'Enterria

Nevis Labs, Columbia University, NY

Overview (“Status of QGP & CGC search”)

1. Introduction:

- The goal: Study **Quantum Chromo many-body Dynamics**: QGP, CGC.
- The means: Compare hard scattering production in diff. colliding had. systems.

2. “QCD vacuum” reference results – high p_T in $p+p$

- Baseline hard scattering data in **free space**.

3. “Hot QCD medium” highlights – high p_T in central $A+A$

- ⇒ dN/dp_T light hadrons (u,d,s): **suppressed**
 $\sqrt{s}$, p_T , centrality, and meson-baryon dependence
- ⇒ $dN_{\text{pair}}/d\phi$ azimuthal anisotropies:
disappearance of **away-side dijet** correlations
- ⇒ dN/dp_T colorless probes (γ): **unsuppressed**

} **QGP ?**

4. “Cold QCD medium” highlights – high p_T in $d+Au$

- ⇒ dN/dp_T light hadrons (u,d,s):
enhanced at $y \leq 0$ (midrapidity & high x_2 in Au)
suppressed at $y \geq 1$ (small x_2 in Au)

} **CGC ?**

5. What have we learnt ? Data vs. theory.

6. Summary


High-energy heavy-ion physics program (in 4 plots)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4g^2} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f (i \gamma^\mu D_\mu + m_f) \psi_f$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gf_{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$
and $D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + i t^a A_\mu^a$ ($\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$)


$$\alpha_s(Q^2) \sim 1/\ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2), \Lambda \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$$

1. Learn about 2 basic properties of strong interaction: **confinement**, **chiral symmetry breaking**




4. Study the regime of **non-linear** (high density) many-body **parton dynamics** at small- x (**CGC**)

2. Study the **phase diagram** of **QCD matter**: esp. produce & study the **QGP**









3. Probe quark-hadron **phase transition** of the **primordial Universe** (few μsec after the Big Bang)



The "Little Bang" in the lab.

- High-energy **nucleus-nucleus collisions**: fixed-target reactions ($\sqrt{s} \sim 17$ GeV - SPS) or at colliders ($\sqrt{s} \sim 200$ GeV - RHIC, $\sqrt{s} \sim 5.5$ TeV - LHC)
 - QGP** expected to be formed in a **tiny region** ($\sim 10^{-14}$ m) and to last **very short times** ($\sim 10^{-23}$ s).
 - Collision dynamics**: Diff. observables probe diff. reaction stages





Hard QCD probes. Motivation (I)

- Hard probes: High- p_T , jets, direct γ , heavy-quarks (D, B), ...

[1] Early production ($\tau \sim 1/p_T < 0.1$ fm/c) in parton-parton scatterings with large Q^2 :
Closest experimental probes to underlying QCD (q, g) degrees of freedom.

[2] Direct probes of partonic phase(s) $\Rightarrow$ Sensitive to QCD medium properties:



Hard QCD probes. Motivation (II)



[3] Production yields theoretically **calculable** via:

perturbative-QCD or ...

classical-field QCD:

at small-x ...

$$d\sigma_{AB \rightarrow hX} = A \cdot B \cdot f_{a/A}(x_a, Q_a^2) \otimes f_{b/B}(x_b, Q_b^2) \otimes d\sigma_{ab \rightarrow cd} \otimes D_{h/c}(z_c, Q_c^2)$$



Mueller diagram for classical glue radiation

Reference pQCD hard cross-sections in A+B

pQCD (factorization theorem) expectation for **inclusive A+B hard cross-sections**:

Independent scattering of “free” partons: $f_{a/A}(x, Q^2) = A f_{a/p}(x, Q^2)$

$$d\sigma_{AB \rightarrow \text{hard}} = A \cdot B \cdot d\sigma_{pp \rightarrow \text{hard}}$$


At imp. param. b :

$$dN_{AB \rightarrow \text{hard}}(b) = T_{AB}(b) \cdot d\sigma_{pp \rightarrow \text{hard}}$$

geom. nuclear overlap at b

**Nuclear
Modification
Factor:**

$$R_{AB}(p_T) = \frac{d^2 N_{AB}/dydp_T}{\langle T_{AB}(b) \rangle \cdot d^2 \sigma_{pp}/dydp_T}$$




$T_{AB} \sim \# \text{ NN colls. ("N}_{\text{coll}} \text{ scaling")}$

$R_{AA} = 1$

A+A = “simple superposition of p+p collisions” at high- p_T where hard scattering dominates

Hard scattering in A+A collisions




Hard scattering in A+A collisions

Initial-state effects

Leading-twist shadowing
or
Gluon saturation (**CGC**)

p_T broadening
(**Cronin** enhancement)

[Experimental handle: $p, d+A$]



Hard scattering in A+A collisions

Initial-state effects

Leading-twist **shadowing**
or
Gluon saturation (CGC)

p_T broadening
(**Cronin** enhancement)


[Experimental handle: $p, d+A$]

Final-state effects


[Experimental handle: $A+A$]

medium-induced
parton energy loss:
“jet quenching” in **QGP**

possible
hadronic
rescattering



Hard scattering in A+A collisions



- Approach: Study modifs. (incl. spectra, partic. composition) of **high p_T production** in A+A with respect to p+p, p+A to learn about QCD many-body dynamics:
 - "Quark Gluon Plasma" (final-state A+A) and/or
 - "Color Glass Condensate" (initial-state A).

Final-state QGP effects

- Multiple final-state **gluon radiation** off the produced hard parton induced by the traversed dense colored medium:

- Mean parton **energy loss** probes medium properties:

$$\Delta E_{\text{loss}} \sim \rho_{\text{gluon}} \quad (\text{gluon density})$$


$$\Delta E_{\text{loss}} \sim \Delta L^2 \quad (\text{medium length})$$

- Energy is carried away by gluonstrahlung **outside jet cone**: $dE/dx \sim \alpha_s \langle k_T^2 \rangle$

- Formalisms**: BDMPS (thick plasma), GLV (thin plasma),

- Correction for **expanding** plasma (1-D):

$$\Delta E_{1-D} = (2\tau_0/R_A) \cdot \Delta E_{\text{static}} \sim 15 \cdot \Delta E_{\text{static}} \quad (\tau_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm}/c, R_A = 6 \text{ fm})$$



- Expected result: **Suppression** of high p_T leading hadrons due to non-Abelian **final-state gluon radiation**.

Initial-state CGC effects



- Initial conditions at RHIC: **high-energies + large nuclei**

Values of small-x: $x_{Bj} = 2p_T/\sqrt{s} \ll 1$

Large gluon densities

$$\rho_A \simeq \frac{xG_A(x, Q^2)}{\pi R_A^2} \sim A^{1/3}$$

RHIC ~ HERA $\times A^{1/3}$




- Colliding nuclei described via a colored highly saturated gluonic wave-function (“**Color Glass Condensate**”).

“**Classical**” approach valid around “sat. scale”: $Q_s \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c$

- Particle production via **glue-gluon collisions**:

Extension to $p_T > Q_s$ (“**geometric scaling**”)

via quantum evolution.



- Expected result: gluon fusion at low x leads to an effective **depletion** of the number of **partonic scattering centers** in the initial state.

Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) @ BNL

Specifications:

3.83 km circumference

2 independent rings:

- 120 bunches/ring
- 106 ns crossing time

A + A collisions @ $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV

Luminosity: $2 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (~ 1.4 kHz)

p+p collisions @ 500 GeV

p+A collisions @ 200 GeV

4 experiments:


BRAHMS, PHENIX, PHOBOS, STAR

Run-1 (2000): **Au+Au @ 130 GeV**

Run-2 (2001-2): **Au+Au, p+p @ 200 GeV**




Run-3 (2002-3): **d+Au, p+p @ 200 GeV**

Run-4 (2004): **Au+Au, p+p @ 200 GeV**
Au+Au @ 62 GeV




The 4 RHIC experiments

STAR Detector



BRAHMS detector

PHOBOS Detector



The PHENIX collaboration

Pioneering High-Energy Ion eXperiment






**11 countries
51 institutions
400+ collaborators**


University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, China
China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE), Beijing, P. R. China
Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Université de Clermont-Ferrand, 63170
Aubière, Clermont-Ferrand, France
Dapnia, CEA Saclay, Bat. 703, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
IPN-Orsay, Université Paris Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, BP1, F-91406, Orsay, France
LPNHE-Palaiseau, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS-IN2P3, Route de Saclay, F-91128,
Palaiseau, France
SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines at Nantes, F-44307 Nantes, France
University of Muenster, Muenster, Germany
Banaras Hindu University, Banaras, India
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Bombay, India
Weizmann Institute, Rehovot, Israel
Center for Nuclear Study (CNS-Tokyo), University of Tokyo, Tanashi, Tokyo 188, Japan
Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739, Japan
KEK, Institute for High Energy Physics, Tsukuba, Japan
Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki-shi, Nagasaki, Japan
RIKEN, Institute for Physical and Chemical Research, Hirosawa, Wako, Japan
University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan
Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ohokayama, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan
University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
Cyclotron Application Laboratory, KAERI, Seoul, South Korea
Kangnung National University, Kangnung 210-702, South Korea
Korea University, Seoul, 136-701, Korea

Myong Ji University, Yongin City 449-728, Korea
System Electronics Laboratory, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, KOREA
Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP-Protvino or Serpukhov), Protvino, Russia
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR-Dubna), Dubna, Russia
Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
PNPI: St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Leningrad, Russia
Lund University, Lund, Sweden
Abilene Christian University, Abilene, Texas, USA
Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, NY 11973
University of California - Riverside (UCR), Riverside, CA 92521, USA
Columbia University, Nevis Laboratories, Irvington, NY 10533, USA
Florida State University (FSU), Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA
Georgia State University (GSU), Atlanta, GA, 30303, USA
Iowa State University (ISU) and Ames Laboratory, Ames, IA 50011, USA
LANL: Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA
LLNL: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, USA
Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Stony Brook (USB),
Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
Department of Physics and Astronomy, State University of New York at Stony
Brook (USB), Stony Brook, NY 11794-, USA
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA
University of Tennessee (UT), Knoxville, TN 37996, USA
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA


- 11 detector sub-systems
- 2 Arm central spectrometers:
 - $|\eta| < 0.35$, $\Delta\phi = \pi$ (e, γ , hadrons)
 - Open geometry axial field
- 2 forward spectrometers:
 - $1.2 < |\eta| < 2.5$, $\Delta\phi = 2\pi$ (muons)
 - Radial magnetic field
- 3 global (inner) dets.: trigger, centrality
- Designed to measure rare probes:
 - + high rate capability & granularity
 - + good mass resolution and PID
 - limited acceptance



RHIC Au+Au luminosities




Au+Au collisions @ 200 GeV



~ 600 charged particles per unit rapidity at midrapidity (top 10% central)


High p_T spectra in Au+Au @ 200 GeV




High p_T p+p @ 200 GeV: “baseline” data

- Good theoretical (NLO pQCD) description ...

$$p+p \rightarrow \pi^0 X$$



$$p+p \rightarrow h^\pm X \text{ (non singly diffractive)}$$




- High quality data: sensitive to different parametrizations of gluon FF


High p_T p+p @ 200 GeV: “baseline” data

- Good theoretical (NLO pQCD) description ...

$$p+p \rightarrow \pi^0 X$$



$$p+p \rightarrow h^\pm X \text{ (non singly diffractive)}$$




... at variance with lower $\sqrt{s}$ results (factors of ~2-4 discrepancy):
 non-perturbative effects (intrinsic k_T), cured by NLL soft g resummation ?


High p_T p+p @ 200 GeV: “baseline” data

- Good theoretical (NLO pQCD) description:

$$p+p \rightarrow \pi^0 X$$




$$p+p \rightarrow h^\pm X \text{ (non singly diffractive)}$$



- Well calibrated (experimentally & theoretically) p+p references at hand !


Au+Au vs. p+p @ 200 GeV (π^0)

Au+Au $\rightarrow \pi^0 X$ (peripheral)




Peripheral data **agree** well with
p+p (data&pQCD) plus N_{coll} scaling

Au+Au $\rightarrow \pi^0 X$ (central)




Strong **suppression** in
central Au+Au collisions

Nuclear modification factor (π^0)



$R_{AA} \ll 1$: well below pQCD (collinear factorization) expectations for hard scattering cross-section




Discovery of
high p_T suppression
(one of most significant
results @ RHIC so far)

Nuclear modification factor (π^0): $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ dependence

$R_{AA}(\pi^0)$ compilation in nucleus-nucleus collisions:

- CERN-SPS: Pb+Pb central ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 17.3$ GeV): no suppression(*) (within errors)
- CERN-ISR: $\alpha+\alpha$ ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 31$ GeV): Cronin enhancement.
- RHIC: Au+Au ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130, 200$ GeV): x 4-5 suppression.



A.L.S.Angelis, PLB 185, 213 (1987)

WA98, EPJ C 23, 225 (2002)



(*) Reanalysis: D.d'E. nucl-ex/0403055

PHENIX, PRL 88 022301 (2002)

PHENIX, PRL 91, 072303 (2003)

High p_T suppression: centrality dependence (I)


- Smooth evolution of suppression with respect to centrality:




in agreement with **pQCD production + parton energy loss** in expanding plasma expectations

High p_T suppression: centrality dependence (II)

- R_{AA} using “soft” scaling factor (N_{part}) shows **approx. N_{part} scaling**: high p_T production per participant pair $\sim$ const. in wide range of centralities



PHENIX Collab.
to appear in PRC
nucl-ex/0308006




D.Kharzeev, E.Levin, L.McLerran
PLB 561, 93 (2003)

- In accord with **Color Glass Condensate** predictions too ...

High p_T suppression. Particle dependence (I): $h^\pm$ vs. π^0

- Inclusive **charged** hadrons **suppressed** a factor $\sim 4 - 5$ at $p_T > 5$ GeV/c





- Universal** (PID-wise) suppression **above** $p_T = 5$ GeV/c

High p_T suppression - Particle depend. (II): baryons vs. mesons

● R_{cp} (ratio central/peripheral) at intermediate $p_T = 2 - 4$ GeV/c:



1. **Baryons:** $p, \bar{p}, \Lambda, \bar{\Lambda}$ **NOT** (or much less) suppressed in central Au+Au.
2. **Mesons:** π^0, k_s^0, η equally suppressed.



- Particle composition **inconsistent with** known fragmentation functions.
- **Additional production mechanism** for baryons in the intermediate p_T range (quark recombination ?).

High p_T suppression - Particle depend. (III): charged/neutral


- **Central Au+Au: $p/\pi \sim 0.8$** (at $p_T = 2 - 4$ GeV/c) at variance with perturbative production mechanisms (favour lightest meson).
- **Periph. Au+Au: $p/\pi \sim 0.2 = p+p$** (ISR,FNAL) & **$e+e^-$ jet fragmentation**



- **Baryon enhancement** limited to $p_T < 4.5$ GeV/c ($h^\pm/\pi \sim 1.6$, perturbative ratio):
 charged hadron and π^0 **equally suppressed** at $p_T > 5$ GeV/c

High p_T azimuthal correlations: jet signals in Au+Au & p+p

- $dN_{\text{pair}}/d\Delta\phi$ for “trigger” ($p_T > 4\text{GeV}/c$) & associated ($p_T = 2-4\text{GeV}/c$) charg. hadrons:



Periph.:

Central:


Red histogram: p+p (+flow)
Black points: Au+Au
Blue curve: flow contribution

- **Near-side peak:** Au+Au = p+p. Trigger hadrons ($p_T > 4\text{GeV}/c$) from **jet fragmentation**.
- **Away-side peak:** Au+Au $\ll$ p+p
- **Back-to-back jets suppressed** ("mono-jet") in central Au+Au !

High p_T azimuthal correlations: Au+Au dijet signal disappearance

- Ratio of **Au+Au** (- flow) **over p+p** azimuthal correlation “strengths”:


$$I_{AA}(\Delta\phi_1, \Delta\phi_2) = \frac{\int_{\Delta\phi_1}^{\Delta\phi_2} d(\Delta\phi) [D^{\text{AuAu}} - B(1 + 2v_2^2 \cos(2\Delta\phi))]}{\int_{\Delta\phi_1}^{\Delta\phi_2} d(\Delta\phi) D^{\text{pp}}}$$



- Increasing disappearance** of back-to-back correlation as a function of centrality.


High p_T in d+Au (“control” experiment)

Au+Au collision



hot & dense medium
(initial+final-state effects)


p,d+Au collision




cold medium
(initial- state effects only)

d+Au nuclear modification factor (at $y=0$)

d+Au @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV




p+A @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 20 - 40$ GeV



- High p_T production in d+Au not suppressed but **enhanced** ! $R_{dAu} > 1$ as in p+A “**Cronin enhancement**”:
 p_T broadening due to initial-state soft & semihard scattering.
- “pQCD” cross-sections ($R_{AA} \sim 1$) recovered at $p_T > 8$ GeV/c
- No Au shadowing** effects in kinematic region probed ($y = 0$).



High p_T azimuthal correlations: jets in d+Au and p+p



- **Near-side:** d+Au correlation strength and width **similar to p+p** (& **Au+Au**)
- Increasing **“underlying event”**: $p+p < d+Au(\text{m.bias}) < d+A(\text{central})$
- **Away-side:** d+Au peak **broadens** but small centrality dependence

- **Back-to-back jets** do **not disappear** in central d+Au !


Unquenched d+Au production at high p_T



- Suppression in central Au+Au not due to initial-state effects

Confirmation... unsuppressed hard colorless production in Au+Au central


- “Control” observable: **direct photons** (clean, penetrating = directly coupled to partonic vertex, no fragmentation) **non-hadronic hard** probes.



Photons (insensitive to final-state effects) show collision scaling at high p_T :

- pQCD parton scattering holds for hard processes in **central Au+Au** !

The quest for gluon saturation effects @ RHIC ...



RHIC kinematical regime:

● High p_T @ midrapidity:

$$y = 0, \quad Q^2 = 1-100 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$$

- pQCD collinear factorization
- DGLAP evolution (g splitting)
- small nuclear effects in PDFs (LT shadowing).

● Moderate p_T , rapidities:

$$y \approx 1-3, \quad Q^2 \approx 10 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$$

- k_T factorization
- linear BFKL evolution (g splitt.)
- "moderate" nuclear effects (LT shadowing).

● Low p_T @ large rapidities:


$$y > 3, \quad Q^2 < Q_s^2 \approx 5 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$$

- pQCD factorization breakdown
- non-linear evolution (g fusion)
- **strong nuclear effects in the initial-state**

(2 → 2) $x_T = p_T / \sqrt{s} (e^{-y} + e^y)$

x small: Look forward in rapidity !


d+Au nuclear modification factor ($\eta = 3.2$)



● Factor ~ 2 suppression
 $p_T = 1-3$ GeV/c hadron
 production at $\eta = 3.2$
 ($x_2 \sim 10^{-4}$ in Au).


● First time a large “shadowing” is seen
 at small- x and high p_T in nuclear syst.

● So far unexplored perturbative region
 of nuclear (x, Q^2) plane.



Is this “standard” nuclear shadowing ?

- Take the predictions of your favourite **leading-twist** approach ...



NLO DGLAP global analysis
of nuclear PDFs

D. de Florian & R. Sassot
hep-ph/0311227

- Maximum gluon shadowing** at $x \sim 10^{-4}$ (indirectly) constrained by available DIS data on nuclear targets is ~ 0.8
- IF indeed $R_{dAu}(p_T \sim 2 \text{ GeV}/c) \approx 0.5 \equiv R_G(x=10^{-4}) \approx 0.5$ this could be an evidence of **extra higher-twist** effects at small- x (**breakdown of QCD factorization**). BUT, soft physics effects can still be playing a role here ...

What hard scattering data at RHIC tell us(*) about the properties of the underlying QCD matter ...

Summary of possible physical scenarios:

1. Dense final-state partonic medium: **Parton energy loss + quark recombination.**
(at $y=0$)
2. Dense initial-state partonic medium: **Gluon saturation.**
(not at $y=0$, maybe at $y=3$)
3. Dense final-state hadronic medium: **hadronic energy loss.**
(at $y=0$)

(*) *via confronting data to theory*

Final-state “QGP” effects vs. data (I)

● Dense medium properties according to “jet quenching” models:

★ Initial gluon densities:

$$dN_g/dy \sim 1100 \quad [\text{Vitev \& Gyulassy}]$$

★ Opacities:

$$\langle n \rangle = L/\lambda \approx 3 - 4 \quad [\text{Levai et al.}]$$

★ Transport coefficients:

$$\langle q_0 \rangle \sim 3.5 \text{ GeV/fm}^2 \quad [\text{BDMPS, F.Arleo}]$$


★ Plasma temperatures:

$$T \sim 0.4 \text{ GeV} \quad [\text{G. Moore}]$$

★ Medium-induced radiative energy losses:

$$dE/dx \approx 0.25 \text{ GeV/fm} \quad (\text{expanding})$$

$$dE/dx|_{\text{eff}} \approx 14 \text{ GeV/fm} \quad (\text{static source}) \quad [\text{X.N.Wang}]$$




● Large opacities imply fast thermalization.

● All these values imply energy densities well above $\epsilon_{\text{crit QCD}}$ in thermalized syst.


Final-state “QGP” effects vs. data (II)

- Quark recombination (coalescence) mechanisms provide a simple explanation of anomalous baryon enhancement at interm. p_T 's (2-5 GeV/c):




- Via quark momenta addition, recombination dominates for $p_T \sim 1-4$ GeV/c:

$$p_T(\text{baryons}) > p_T(\text{mesons}) > p_T(\text{quarks})$$



- Fragmentation dominates for $p_T > 5$ GeV/c:
 $p_T(\text{hadrons}) = z p_T(\text{partons})$, with $z < 1$




- High quark densities in a thermal medium are required.

- However... is recomb. consistent with $(p+p\text{-like})$ Au+Au $dN/d\phi$ near-side widths ?


Final-state effects in a dense hadronic medium ?

- Energy loss of “pre-hadrons” inside a dense expanding hadronic fireball with $\epsilon_{\text{init}} \approx 1 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$



- Pre-hadronic energy loss in dense medium needed also in Dual-Parton-Model based approaches

- Hadronic transport models (HSD, UrQMD) produce **suppression but not enough** to explain the observed suppression factor at high p_T



Summary

★ High p_T central Au+Au vs p+p at midrapidity at RHIC:

- ➔ Observation 1: **Light-flavor** (u,d,s) spectra **suppressed** by a factor 4-5.
- ➔ Observation 2: **Intermediate p_T light-flavor composition inconsistent with known fragmentation functions** in free space.
- ➔ Observation 3: **Disappearance of away-side jet correlations.**
- ➔ Observation 4: Direct **photon** spectra **unsuppressed**.

★ High p_T d+Au vs p+p at midrapidity at RHIC:

- ➔ Observation 5: Spectra **enhanced** by a factor ~ 1.3

★ “Explanation” (1,2 via 4,5): **pQCD** hard scattering + **final-state** parton **energy loss** + parton **recombination** $\Rightarrow$ Dense thermal QCD medium
QGP ? : thermal γ ?, J/ Ψ suppression ? (Run-4 @ RHIC)

★ High p_T in d+Au at forward rapidities at RHIC:

- ➔ Observation 6: Spectra **suppressed** by a factor $\sim 2-3$.

★ “Explanation” (6): possible evidence of **high twist effects at small-x.**

backup slides ...