# Charged Particle Multiplicity at Mid-Rapidity in Au-Au Collisions at RHIC

#### David Silvermyr Div. of Cosmic and Subatomic Physics Lund University





### Outline

- Predictions for  $dN_{ch}/d\eta$  at mid-rapidity
- Detectors used in this analysis
- Multiplicity analysis @ 130 GeV
- Energy scaling of charged particle multiplicities, from AGS to RHIC
- Multiplicity results @ 200 GeV, predictions for LHC.

# N<sub>ch</sub>/dy Predictions



Charged particle multiplicity at midrapidity is an essential global variable for characterizing high-energy heavy-ion collisions.

Before data-taking the range in predictions was large..

### Year 2000 Configuration



South

Top View

North

PHENIX Detector - First Year Physics Run

### rigger



Glauber model reproduces ZDC spectrum reasonably, which gives a possibility to estimate # of participant nucleons.



#### The Pad Chambers in PHENIX

Three layers: **PC1**, **PC2** and **PC3**. Provide 3D coord. for charged tracks in field-free

Ensure reliable pattern recognition n the high-multiplicity environment.

MWPC with a total of 172 800 es/No readout channels.

88 m<sup>2</sup> total active detector area.

BNL - Lund University - McGill University -ORNL - Stony Brook - Vanderbilt University -Weizmann Institute



### **Hit Matching Procedure**



The analysis presented here was performed with field off runs only and using PC1 and PC3 in the East arm. (For year-2: also West arm)

The background contribution is determined by a mixed event technique of exchanging each PC1 sector with its neighbour.

Vertex reconstruction is done by PC/BBC.

#### Vertex Reconstruction

ne vertex position is determined by

- Combining all PC1 and PC3 hits to lines Project the lines to the plane and save all within an appropriate X and Y window.
- Calculate the peak position of the Z distribution.



The vertices found by PC and BBC agree nicely. By repeating the procedure with a tighter cut placed around the found vertex, one can estimate the number of tracks in the collision.



# Raw Multiplicity Distributions

The number of hits in PC1 W, PC1 E and PC3 E are very similar. Differences from the xpected are due to less geometrical active rea coverage in PC3.





The number of background tracks dependent on the total number of tracks agree with expectations from first principles.

# Multiplicity distribution @ 130 GeV

Distribution has been scaled by the known orrection factors, to correspond to a coverage  $f \pm 0.5$  in  $\eta$  and  $2\pi$  in  $\phi$ .

Vidth of high N<sub>ch</sub> roll-off is a function of e.g. inite aperture.





First results on centrality dependence of char particle multiplicity at RHIC energies.

# Energy Scaling of $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ : pp and AA

Collection of data points from pp and AA experiments.



# Energy Scaling of dN<sub>ch</sub>/dy: AA

AA points only. Collider data scaled to correspond to dNch/dy.



# $N_{ch}/dy$ Fits: AA



### Year 2001 Configuration

- \* EMCal coverage extended
- \* South Muon Arm added
- \* PC2 and PC3 West added



### Centrality determination: Year 2001



### Multiplicity distribution @ 200 GeV

For the 5 % most central collisions, an increase of  $1.15 \pm 0.04$ , relative to 130 GeV n dN<sub>ch</sub>/dη per participant pair is observed.



### Extrapolations to 200 GeV and LHC



# Summary

- $dN_{ch}/d\eta$  analysis at mid-rapidity performed for 200 GeV and 130 GeV.
- Increase in  $dN_{ch}/d\eta$  per participant pair from 130 to 200 GeV of a factor  $1.15 \pm 0.04$
- Logarithmic scaling with  $s_{NN}$  for  $dN_{ch}/dy$  per participant pair describes the data up to 200 GeV.

If the scaling holds to LHC energies,  $dN_{ch}/dy$  at mid-rapidity will be about 1400 and the total charged particle multiplicity about 13000.





Map No. 3938 Rev. 2 UNITED NATIONS Natural 1999 Department of Public Information Conformation Section

ty of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil ia Sinica, Taipei 11529, China stitute of Atomic Energy (CIAE), Beijing, P. R. China oire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Universite de Clermont-Ferrand, 63170 Clermont-Ferrand, France CEA Saclay, Bat. 703, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ay, Universite Paris Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, BP1, F-91406, Orsay, France alaiseau, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS-IN2P3, Route de Saclay, F-91128, u. France H. Ecole des Mines at Nantes, F-44307 Nantes, France ty of Muenster, Muenster, Germany Hindu University, Banaras, India Atomic Research Centre (BARC),Bombay, India nn Institute, Rehovot, Israel or Nuclear Study (CNS–Tokyo), University of Tokyo, Tanashi, Tokyo 188, Japan 1a University, Higashi–Hiroshima 739, Japan itute for High Energy Physics, Tsukuba, Japan niversity, Kyoto, Japan i Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki–shi, Nagasaki, Japan stitute for Physical and Chemical Research, Hirosawa, Wako, Japan ty of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan stitute of Technology, Ohokayama, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan ty of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

Cyclotron Application Laboratory, KAERI, Seoul, South Korea Kangnung National University, Kangnung 210–702, South Korea Korea University, Seoul, 136–701, Korea Myong Ji University, Yongin City 449–728, Korea System Electronics Laboratory, Seoul National University, Seoul, Sout Yonsei University, Seoul 120–749, KOREA Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP–Protvino or Serpukhov), Proto Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR–Dubna), Dubna, Russia Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia PNPI: St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Leningrad, Ru Lund University, Lund, Sweden Abilene Christian University, Abilene, Texas, USA Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Upton, NY 11973 University of California – Riverside (UCR), Riverside, CA 92521, USA Columbia University, Nevis Laboratories, Irvington, NY 10533, USA Florida State University (FSU), Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA Georgia State University (GSU), Atlanta, GA, 30303, USA Iowa State University (ISU) and Ames Laboratory, Ames, IA 50011, US/ LANL: Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA LLNL: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, USA Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Stony Broo

#### Efficiency Studies



#### **Binominal Broadening**

- Suppose there are N particles in every event in  $|\eta| < 1$ , full azimuth.
- Our acceptance covers a fraction p (0 of this interval.
- On average, we thus see  $n = N^*p$  in our acceptance, but not in every event. Our variance in is given by  $\sigma^2 = N^*p^*(1-p)$
- Thus when rescaling to the full acceptance we get  $N \pm 1/p^*(N^*p^*(1-p))^{1/2}$ i.e. a broadening..

#### I C 1/J analysis. II ach selection

- The same type of the analysis as in year-1
- Hit combinatorics of PC1 and PC3 in B=0
- 25 cm acceptance window cut



#### I C 1/J analysis. Dackground subtraction

Subtraction of the average background on event by event basis

The DHR correction 3.6% (mixed events has more tracks than accidentals in th direct event) implemented at this stage.



#### I C 1/J analysis. Dim Correction

DHR correction done proportional to the hits lost in PC1 and PC3 is done in the same way as in Year-1

fraction of survived hits:

number of survived hits: calculated slope:





#### I C 1/J analysis. Scanng correction

#### aling correction factors

Layer	PC1 East	PC1 West	PC3 East	PC3 West
Inactive ROCs	$0.9\% \pm 0.2\%$	$0.5\% \pm 0.2\%$	$6\% \pm 1.0\%$	$0.7\% \pm 0.2\%$
Inactive TGLs	$0.6\% \pm 0.2\%$		$0.3\% \pm 0.2\%$	
Dead area by construction	1.5%		6.6%	
Inefficiency	$0.6\% {+} 0.5\%$		0.3% + 0.5%	
Tracks outside R acceptance	$4.1\% \pm 1.5\%$			
In-flight particle decays	$1.8\% \pm 4.0\%$			

#### I C 1/J analysis. I courts comparison

East and West arm multiplicities rec. independently - are in a very good agreement



#### Scaling factors, feed-down correction

Origin	PISA	HIJING
$\pi^+\pi^-$	21.72	26.99
$p^+p^-$	1.16	1.19
$K^+K^-$	1.16	2.45
$e^+e^-$	0.02	0.03
Others $(\Sigma^{\pm}, \bar{\Sigma}^{\pm}, \Xi^{\pm})$	0.29	0.22
Charged	24.36	30.89
$\pi^0$	0.88	15.13
$\gamma$	0.07	3.01
$n, ar{n}$	0.07	1.19
$K_L^o$	0.10	1.20
$K_S^o$	1.66	1.19
Others $(\Lambda, \Xi^o, \Sigma^o)$	0.44	0.40
Neutral	3.21	22.12
Total	27.57	53.01
Total corrected	30.33	

Feed - down correction can be derived based on the known particle ratios from Year-1

PHENIX is very "sensitive" to strange component of the event.

This would not affect the Y2/Y1 ratio, but may change absolute value.