Jets at RHIC: How Do We Do It and What Have We Learned?

Anne Sickles Brookhaven National Lab

Understanding the Matter @ RHIC

- goal: use calibrated hard probes to understand, on a microscopic level, the produced matter
- challenge: these probes interact through full time evolution of the system



Energy Loss



Classifying Collisions

- impact parameter: not measurable, disfavored for historical reasons
- centrality: fraction of the Au+Au cross section with a smaller impact parameter (0% centrality => b=0fm)
 - this is how data is classified for analysis
- number of participating nucleons (Npart): how many nucleons from both Au nuclei interact
- number of binary collisions (Ncoll): number of equivalent p+p collisions in the initial state, how we expect hard scattering to scale

Types of Collisions



central collision b small N_{part} ~ 2*A N_{coll} large

peripheral collision b large N_{part} small N_{coll} small





How Do We Find Jets?

find this...





- statistically: two particle correlations
- problem: huge background from uncorrelated particles

2 particle correlations



- "trigger" on high p_T
 particles to find a hard
 scattering
- count lower p_T particles to study medium interactions
- comparison of Au+Au and p+p allows measurements of matter's effect on jet properties

First Problem: v₂



 if angle of particles w/respect to reaction plane measured:

$$\frac{dN}{d(\Psi-\phi)} \propto 1 + 2v_2\cos(\Psi-\phi) + \dots$$

• if the angle of two particles w/respect to each other is measured:

$$\frac{dN}{d\Delta\phi} \propto 1 + 2v_{2,trig}v_{2,part}\cos(\Delta\phi)$$

Big Problem: The Background from Other Stuff in the Event

PHENIX, PLB 649 359-369 (2007)



- S/B ~1% in central collisions
- 1st order approximation:
 - background rate product of the singles rate
- however, real events have an additional physics correlation, the real impact parameter
 - we can only classify events with a finite resolution

Modeling the Correlations

- We use a model of the distributions of nucleons in the nuclei
 - it's the same one that we us to calculate the number of equivalent p+p collisions for RAA, so we think it's robust
 - in this Monte Carlo we calculate the size of the centrality correlations, based on measurements of particle production as a function of centrality and use that to correct the data
- in central collisions correction is ~0.2%
 - but, if the S/B is 1% it's crucial

more detail: A. Sickles, et al, nucl-ex/0702007

Other Method of Determinig the Background

- Assume something about the signal shape:
 - fit Gaussian jet peaks
 - assume the jet(ΔΦ) has signal free region
 - a lower limit on jet signal
- to the level of our errors, far, these methods agree



Can We See the Radiation?



Can We See the Radiation?



Protons & Anti-Protons

- dramatic changes to particle composition at moderate p_T
 - QCD higher twist effects
 - sensitivity to properties of the matter

Anti-Baryon to meson ratio



where do protons come from?



Correlations Between Baryons



- not clear what these data are telling us
 - more statistics would help, especially for the most central collisions Anne M. Sickles, March 25, 2008



- because of the large energy loss the trigger is biased toward small matter path lengths
- then the away side biased toward long path lengths in the matter
 - maximal interactions with the matter

Conical Structure?



PHENIX, PRL 98 232302, 2007

18

Particle Composition in the Cone?



PHENIX, arxiv:0712.3033[nucl-ex]

Cone & Bulk Matter Have Similar Particle Composition



- Away side hadronizes with the bulk matter
- Evidence that lost jet energy is thermalized?

coalescence in the final state

the idea: quarks from the matter, close together in phase space can combine to form final state hadrons



- favors baryons as long as the quark p_T spectrum is exponential
 - at high $p_{\text{T}},$ quark spectrum will develop power law behavior and fragmentation should dominate
- issues: gluons, energy & momentum conservation, entropy...

review: R. Fries J.Phys.G30:S853-S860,2004 Anne Sickles, March 24, 2008

Where Next?

- charm & bottom suppressed
 & flow with the matter,
 despite their large mass
- there are a lot of exotic ideas to explain this
 - coalescence, hadrons formed⇔dissociated in the matter,...
- but we don't know anything about the correlations
- how does the matter respond?





Goal: Tomography

- we want to use hard probes to understand the microscopic properties of the matter
- right now we see large effects depending on hadron type, this means that hadron formation is influenced by the matter, or it's remnants, either:
 - providing information about the matter itself
 - or, hiding that information behind some relatively uninteresting hadronic processes
 - either way we have to figure it out experimentally

Path Forward

- Qualitatively new measurements with charm and bottom correlations
 - a void of measurements, so any result aids our understanding of how heavy quarks interact with the matter & how that's different than how light quarks interact
- More quantitative measurements with light quarks:
 - role of hadronization needs to be understood, to disentangle from hot matter effects--this is an experimental issue