# Polarized Protons Run Plan

Christoph Montag

RSC Meeting, November 9, 2006

#### The goal for Run-7 and beyond

- Achieved  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{store, avg}} = 20 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$  in Run-6
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{store, avg}} = 40 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$  in Run-7,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{store, avg}} = 60 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$  in Run-8
- Preserve (or even improve) polarization (65 percent)

#### How can we get there?

Luminosity formula:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{N^2 f_c}{4\pi\epsilon\beta^*}$$

Collision frequency  $f_c$  is already maximized (111 bunches). Remaining "free" parameters are bunch intensity N, emittance  $\epsilon$ , and  $\beta^*$ .

What are the limitations on these?

Beam-beam formula:

$$\xi = \frac{r_0 N \beta^*}{4\pi \gamma \sigma^2} \\ = \frac{r_0 N}{4\pi \gamma \epsilon}$$

Beam-beam is independent of  $\beta^*$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  squeeze  $\beta^*$  as much as possible.

Limitations on  $\beta^*$ :

- magnet strength and triplet aperture
- hourglass effect (need shorter bunches)

Main luminosity improvement has to come from higher intensity ( $N = 2 \cdot 10^{11}$ /bunch). Fortunately, AGS polarization seems largely intensity-independent.

But: higher intensity (or smaller emittance) increases beambeam tuneshift.

Large tuneshift parameters make working point search very delicate. Working points in the two rings need to be different to avoid coherent beam-beam effects.

FY06 pp-run was already largely beam-beam limited.

### Run-6 intensities before and after working point swap



 $Q_x = 2/3$  limits lifetime and luminosity performance

### What can (and needs to) be done?

- Provide more tune space by compensating  $Q_x = 2/3$  resonance: 20 percent
- Correct nonlinear chromaticity (smaller tune footprint):
  40 percent
- Eliminate 10 Hz beam-beam modulation: 10 percent
- $\beta^*$  reduction: 10 percent

Total: 205 percent luminosity increase

How can we get even more?

### Choosing a new working point

Snake resonances:



Near-integer tunes best for polarization

Nonlinear resonances, up to 10th order:



Near-integer working point provides largest tune space

## New lattice





20 percent dispersion beat between IRs 6 and 8

### Orbit correction

$$\Delta x = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{\mathsf{BPM}}}}{2\sin \pi Q} \oint \delta(s) \sqrt{\beta(s)} \cos(|\psi(s) - \psi_{\mathsf{BPM}}| - \pi Q)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  factor 2 – 3 larger closed orbit distortions at near-integer tune s

Note:

 $\beta$ -beat scales with  $1/\sin(2\pi Q)$ 

### Nonlinear dynamics

Near the integer, the spacing between resonance lines is largest.

However, the integer resonance includes ALL nonlinear resonances: 2/2, 3/3, 4/4, 5/5,...

Dynamic aperture needs to be determined by tracking

## Tracking studies

- Tracking studies are being performed to compare dynamic aperture at current and proposed working points, with and without nonlinear chromaticity correction and 2/3 resonance compensation
- Initial results seemed to indicate that the dynamic aperture is comparable, while the proposed new working point provides a larger range of "good" dynamic aperture in tune space
- However, multipole errors in D0 and DX magnets were not treated correctly during these studies (at both working points); this is being worked on
- $\Rightarrow$  Work in progress

### Experimental studies

- If tracking results are promising, new working point should be tested during Au-Au run
- At injection, lower tunes from .22 to .08
- Correct orbit
- Measure  $\beta$ -beat with AC dipole

### Summary

- Run-7 luminosity goal seems achievable with "minor" lattice changes (resonance compensation, nonlinear chromaticity correction)
- However, luminosities beyond  $40 \cdot 10^{30} \, \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$  require major changes (new working point)
- Currently, tracking studies are in progress to determine feasibility of near-integer tunes, as well as nonlinear chromaticity correction and resonance compensation