# Jet Quenching in Au+Au at $\sqrt{s}=200$ AGeV

#### Hisayuki Torii Kyoto Univ. Presented at Colloquium, Oct/6/2000

# Outline

- Quark Gluon Plasma
  - Jet Quenching as probe
- What is jet ?
  - Soft or Hard
  - Jet Shape
  - $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$  ratio
  - Jet in H.I. Collision?
- Nuclear Effect
  - EMC Effect
  - Cronin Effect (Intrinsic kT effect)
  - Energy loss in QGP (Jet Quenching)
- Comparison of pQCD and A+A Collisions
  - Modeling of nuclear effect
  - pQCD Calculation
  - WA98
- Go to RHIC

## Jet Quenching as probe of QGP

- Quark Gluon Plasma(QGP) search
  - One of the hard probe for QGP is "Jet Quenching"
  - Jet Quenching.
    - Quark energy loss in the QGP matter by gluon bremsstrahlung.
    - Energy loss can be observed by measuring fragmented pi0



#### Soft or Hard

- Invariant cross section for pi+- or pi0
  - at p+p or p pbar
- Soft region
  - エネルギーによらず常にほ ぼー定の傾きを示す。 (pT<2)</li>
- Hard region
  - エネルギー依存
  - 核子中の内部構造を反映している。
  - − クオークの破砕化 (fragmentation)→ハドロン



# Jet Shape

-1/2

- Jet = hadronization •
- Two particles correlation in CERN-ISR exp.
  - Triggerd by neutral with pT>7.0GeV/c
  - Large correlation in opposite side
    - $\rightarrow$  2 Jets with 180deg
  - The width of opposite side(right) decrease as function of pT
    - Initial kT

Phys.Lett 97B(1980)163 the formation of the second second





7/2

3=/2 5

#### $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$ ratio

•  $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$  ratio

nucl-th/9812021

8



FIG. 1. Single-inclusive pion spectra in p + p collisions at  $E_{lab} = 200$  GeV. The solid lines are

pQCD parton model calculations with intrinsic  $k_T$  and the dot-dashed line is without. Experimental data are from Ref. [26]. The inserted figure shows the corresponding  $\pi^-/\pi^+$  ratio. H.Torii 2000/Oct/6 Colloqium at Kyoto Univ. 6

## Jet in Heavy Ion Collisions?

hep-ex/0003012

- $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$  ratio
  - Pb-Au Collisions at 158AGeV/c
  - CERN-NA45-CERES
    - Flat 1.03 upto 2.2GeV/c
    - (if hard process)  $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+} = d/u$  quark =1.14
- No explicit evidence of jet at H.I. collisions



Figure 5. Transverse momentum dependence of the  $\pi^{\prime}\pi^{+}$  ratio.

### Nuclear Effect in A+A collision



H.Torii 2000/Oct/6 Colloqium at Kyoto Univ.

# Nuclear Effect EMC effect ( e + A )

- Parton Distribution Fuction(PDF)
  - Difference of PDF in nucleus and PDF in nucleon.
    - Fermi motion
    - Nuclear binding
    - Q2 shift
    - Virtual pion
    - Nuclear shadowing



Figure 1 Ratios of the deep-inelastic cross sections on targets of iron or copper to those of deuterium (2-6). The insert contains the original EMC data, which have an additional 7% overall multiplicative uncertainty (1).

Annu.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.1995. 45:337-390

# Nuclear Effect Cronin Effect (p + A)

- Lepton pair transverse momentum
  - Due to soft gluon radiation.
  - It means
     resummension is not
     incomplete.

Phys.Rev.D23,(1981)604



Fig. 9.2. The lepton pair transverse momentum from the CFS collaboration [4]. The curve corresponds to a Gaussian intrinsic  $k_T$  distribution for the annihilating partons.

# Nuclear Effect Cronin Effect $(p + A)_{Phys.Rev.D59,074007}$

- <kT> depends on  $\sqrt{s}$  strongly.
  - kTをGaussiannでラン ダムに振って入れてや る.



FIG. 1.  $\langle p_T \rangle$  of pairs of muons, photons, and jets produced in hadronic collisions versus  $\sqrt{s}$ .

#### Jet Quenching Energy loss in dense matter

- Gluon radiative energy loss
- R.Baier, Yu.L.Dokshitzer, A.H.Mueler, S.Peigne, D.Shiff達もいろいろやってい るがmodel dependence が大きそうであ る
  - N.P.B484(1997)265 R.Baier et al.
    - T=250MeV dE/dx = 3GeV/fm (L=10fm)
    - cold matter dE/dx = 0.2GeV/fm (L=10fm)
  - N.P.B531(1998)403-425 R.Baier et al
    - ・ 上の2倍
- 最近の理論計算では、dE がHot Matter中Partonの通過する積算距離 L の二乗に比例している。



FIG. 4. The energy dependence of energy loss dE/dz of a quark with energy E inside a quark-gluon plasma at temperature T = 300 MeV. A weak coupling  $\alpha_s = 0.3$  is used. The solid line is the full expression and the dashed line is the factorization limit of the radiative energy loss. The dot-dashed line is the elastic energy loss.

# Modeling for nuclear effect & pQCD calculation

- Heavy Ion collisionにおいて原子核効果(nuclear effect)による影響を考慮しなければいけない
  - nuclear modification of the parton distribution : EMC effect

$$f_{a/A}(x,Q^2,b) = S_{a/A}(x,b) \left[ \frac{Z}{A} f_{a/P}(x,Q^2) + \left( 1 - \frac{Z}{A} \right) f_{a/R}(x,Q^2) \right]$$

- nuclear enhancement of pT hadron spectra : Cronin effect

$$\left\langle \kappa^{2}(b) \right\rangle_{A} = \left( 1 + 0.2Q^{2} \alpha_{s(Q^{2})} + \frac{0.23 \sigma_{pp} t_{A}(b) \ln^{2} Q}{1 + \ln Q} \right) (GeV/c)^{2}$$

これらのパラメーターをWA80,WA98で合わせたParameterizationをおこなっている。

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}}(ab \to cd) \frac{\frac{D^{\theta}h_{c}(z_{c},Q^{2})}{\pi z_{c}}}{13}$$

### pQCD Calculation

#### p pbar $\sqrt{s=0.2}$ to 1.8TeV





#### A A collisions



nucl-ex/9812021

FIG. 11. Single-inclusive spectra of  $\pi^0$  in  $\hat{S} + S$ , S + Au and Pb + Pb collisions (both minimum-biased and central events) at the CERN SPS energies. The solid lines are pQCD parton

H. Torii 2000/Oct/6 Colloqium at Kyoto Uni model calculations with  $k_{T}$  broadening due to initial multiple parton scattering and the dashed

lines are without. Experimental data are from Refs. [10,11].

#### Jet Quenching at WA98

- WA98 Pb+Pb->pi0 data(N.P A638(1998) 147c-158c)
- 結論
  - 原子核効果(Cronin effect, EMC effect)を考慮するとJet Quenchingの効果なしで、 pQCDの計算と良くあっておる。
  - dE/dx < 0.02GeV/fm



hep-ph/9804384

FIG. 2. Single-inclusive  $\pi^0$  spectra in central S + S at  $E_{lab} = 200$  GeV and Pb + Pb collisions at  $E_{lab} = 158$  GeV. The solid lines are pQCD calculations with initial- $k_T$  broadening and dashed lines are without. The S + S data are from WA80 [27] and Pb + Pb data are from WA98 [28]. The dot-dashed line is obtained from the solid line for Pb + Pb by shifting  $p_T$  by 0.2 GeV/c.

## Go to RHIC

- Fig.1a
  - pp,WA80,WA98との比較。
  - ppはGlauber modelにより、AAのpT分布
     に焼きなおしてある。
  - 結論は、HIJINGの持っている kT(transverse momentum)の効果はPbPb
     のデータのみを良く再現している。
  - RHICでは、pT分布がharderになっているため、原子核効果によるAdditional pTの寄与は小さい。有利である。
- Fig.1b
  - dE/dx = 0,or1GeV/fm にて大きく違う
  - 5GeV/c辺りで大きく違っているのは、
     pQCD semi-hard プロセスによるものではない、なぜなら、2GeV/c辺りのsemi-hard
     pQCD(mini-jet)領域では、quenchingの効果が見えていない。
  - HIJING model で見ているのは、multple soft collisions によるものである。



Figure 1: Invariant  $A + A \rightarrow \pi^0$  cross section for central collision at SPS and RHIC energies are compared. a) The WA80 S + S data [4] (triangles) and the preliminary WA98 Pb + Pb data [1] (dots) are compared to HIJING1.35 [11] with soft  $p_{\perp}$  kicks (full lines) and without  $p_T$  kicks (dot-dashed curves). The later scale with the wounded projectile number times  $\sigma_{AA}$  times the invariant distribution calculated for pp. The parton model curve from Ref. [2] is labeled by 'Wang'. The filled squares show  $pp \rightarrow \pi^+$  data scaled by the (Glauber) number of binary collisions times  $\sigma_{AA}$  for both SS and PbPb. b) Jet quenching, predicted at RHIC energies[6], is not significant at SPS energies in the HIJING model.

# Summary

- What is Jet?
- Nuclear Effects(EMC,Cronin)
- No signal of Jet Quenching yet.
  - dE/dx < 0.02GeV at WA98
- Go to RHIC
  - Yield will be suppressed by one order of magnitude.





FIG. 6. Compilation of direct photon experiments compared to the  $k_{T}$ -resummed predictions using the CTEQ4M parton distributions.

# Hydrodynamics model with WA80

- WA80 S+S->pi0
   200AGeV p+p, p+S, p+Au
- Hydrodynamic modelで言 明できる。
- 特にQenching 及び Kt の効果は見られない。
- 又、pQCDの計算でも説 明できる。



Fig. 6. Invariant cross sections of  $\pi^{\circ}$  mesons from reactions of S+S (jeft) and S+Au (right) at 200 A-GeV measured in the rapidity range  $2.1 \le y \le 2.9$ . The events are selected for controlity with the percentage of the minimum bias cross section as indicated. The lines drawn with the data are exponentials fitted to the region 0.8 GeV/ $c^{2} \le m_{T} \le 2.0$  GeV/ $c^{2}$  with slope parameters as indicated.

### 1-year in PHENIX (MJT's plot)

Events in bins of  $\Delta p_{\rm T}\,\cong\,0.30$ 



#### Size of QGP Uncertainty of dx ET Measurement give us an information $\varepsilon_{\theta} = \frac{d E}{d \eta} \frac{1}{\pi \tau_{\theta} R_{A}^{2}}$ • Bjorken formulae $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon_{\theta}} = \left( \frac{\tau_{\theta}}{\tau} \right)^{\alpha}$ $1 < \alpha < \frac{4}{3}$ • Energy conserv **I**QGP formed (t0, t1) to (e0,e1) QGP need t0=1fm/c for $(\tau 1. \varepsilon 1)$ thermal. QGP critical energy density $(\tau 0, \varepsilon 0)$ e1=1.0GeV/fm<sup>3</sup> Wa98 1fm/c to 2.2-2.9fm/c The (t0,t1) is too short in WA98. Howi 2000tt/0CRHIG? at Kyoto Univ.