LATEST NEWS ON DVCS FROM HERMES



Caroline Riedl

EIC Meeting at BNL

August 25, 2009

Latest News on DVCS from HERMES

- Appetizer: HERA and HERMES
- Motivation: Generalized Parton Distributions, orbital angular momentum and 3-dimensional nucleon structure
- Measurements of azimuthal asymmetries in DVCS
  - Beam helicity and charge asymmetries on hydrogen and nuclear targets
  - Transverse target spin asymmetry on hydrogen
- A Recoil Detector for HERMES

HERA @ DESY in Hamburg, Germany

- Polarized electron/positron beam of 27.6 GeV and 40mA
- Beam polarization 30...65%, 2 beam helicities
- Proton beam of 920 GeV / 90 mA



HERA @ DESY in Hamburg, Germany

- Polarized electron/positron beam of 27.6 GeV and 40mA
- Beam polarization 30...65%, 2 beam helicities
- Proton beam of 920 GeV / 90 mA



HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



H, H, D

H, D
He, N, Ne, Kr, Xe

Tracking
momentum resolution:
≤ 2%
angular resolution:
0.3...0.6 mrad

HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



H, H, D

H, D
He, N, Ne, Kr, Xe

Tracking

momentum resolution:
≤ 2%
angular resolution:
0.3...0.6 mrad

Particle IDentification

electron ID: 98-99%
hadron contamination <1%
RICH: 2...15 GeV

HERMES: HERa MEasurement of Spin



H, H, D

H, D
He, N, Ne, Kr, Xe

Tracking

momentum resolution:
≤ 2%
angular resolution:
0.3...0.6 mrad

Particle IDentification

electron ID: 98-99%
hadron contamination <1%
RICH: 2...15 GeV

Deep Inelastic Scattering in ep collisions

Inclusive kinematics

Virtual photon virtuality:

$$Q^2 \equiv -q^2 := (k - k')^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{\approx} 4EE' \sin^2(\theta/2)$$

Bjørken scaling variable:

$$x_{\mathrm{B}} := \frac{Q^2}{2Pq} \overset{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M(E - E')}$$

Invariant mass squared of X:

$$W^2 := (P + q)^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{=} M^2 + 2M(E - E') - Q^2$$



Deep Inelastic Scattering in ep collisions

Inclusive kinematics

Virtual photon virtuality:

$$Q^2 \equiv -q^2 := (k - k')^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{\approx} 4EE' \sin^2(\theta/2)$$

Bjørken scaling variable:

$$x_{\mathrm{B}} := \frac{Q^2}{2Pq} \overset{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M(E - E')}$$

Invariant mass squared of X:

$$W^2 := (P + q)^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{=} M^2 + 2M(E - E') - Q^2$$



$$\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}} \sim \sum_X \left| \right|^2$$

Deep Inelastic Scattering in ep collisions

Inclusive kinematics

Virtual photon virtuality:

$$Q^2 \equiv -q^2 := (k - k')^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{\approx} 4EE' \sin^2(\theta/2)$$

Bjørken scaling variable:

$$x_{\mathrm{B}} := \frac{Q^2}{2Pq} \overset{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M(E - E')}$$

Invariant mass squared of X:

$$W^2 := (P + q)^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{=} M^2 + 2M(E - E') - Q^2$$



$$\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}} \sim \sum_X \left| \right|^2$$

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E'\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right|_{1\gamma^*} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2MQ^4}\frac{E'}{E} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$$

Deep Inelastic Scattering in ep collisions

Inclusive kinematics

Virtual photon virtuality:

$$Q^2 \equiv -q^2 := (k - k')^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{\approx} 4EE' \sin^2(\theta/2)$$

Bjørken scaling variable:

$$x_{\mathrm{B}} := \frac{Q^2}{2Pq} \overset{\text{lab}}{=} \frac{Q^2}{2M(E - E')}$$

Invariant mass squared of X:

$$W^2 := (P + q)^2 \overset{\text{lab}}{=} M^2 + 2M(E - E') - Q^2$$



$$\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}} \sim \sum_X \left| \quad \right|^2$$



$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E'\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right|_{1\gamma^*} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2MQ^4}\frac{E'}{E} L_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}$$

Semi-inclusive kinematics

involve kinematics of produced hadrons

Exclusive kinematics

complete spectrum of X known

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

½ΔΣ + L_q

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

(?)

½ΔΣ + L_q

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

(?)

½ΔΣ + L_q

* Spin of quarks: ΔΣ≈⅓ from DIS and SIDIS

Hermes Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 012007: ΔΣ = 0.330 ± 0.011(theo) ± 0.025(exp) ± 0.028(evol)

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

(?)

½ΔΣ + L_q

- Spin of quarks: ΔΣ≈⅓ from DIS and SIDIS

  Hermes Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 012007: ΔΣ = 0.330 ± 0.011(theo) ± 0.025(exp) ± 0.028(evol)

- Orbital angular momentum of quarks: L_q?

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x\; x\,[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t)]$$

Ji relation

Ji, PRL **78** (1997) 610

The Composition of the Nucleon's Spin

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar = J_{\mathrm{quarks}} + J_{\mathrm{gluons}}$$

(?)

½ΔΣ + L_q

* Spin of quarks: ΔΣ≈⅓ from DIS and SIDIS

  Hermes Phys. Rev. **D75** (2007) 012007: ΔΣ = 0.330 ± 0.011(theo) ± 0.025(exp) ± 0.028(evol)

* Orbital angular momentum of quarks: L_q?

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x\; x\,[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t)]$$

Ji relation

Ji, PRL **78** (1997) 610

Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)

Generalized Parton Distributions



- Access through hard exclusive reactions
  - t: squared momentum transfer to target
  - x: average longitudinal momentum fraction of quark before/after
  - ξ: ½ difference

- GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$ parameterize the non-perturbative nucleon structure
  - ➤ contain info on parton-parton correlations

- 4 GPDs that conserve quark chirality (Spin-1/2 target, leading-twist)

nucleon helicity ↓	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	H	$\tilde{H}$
flipped	E	$\tilde{E}$
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs
$F^q(x,\xi,t)$



Form Factors: transverse position

$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position



$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position



$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position



$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs
$F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position





$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position







$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position







$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

"Nucleon Tomography"

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs
$F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position





$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

"Nucleon Tomography"

GPDs: A unifying picture of nucleon structure

Parton Distribution Functions: longitudinal momentum

GPDs $F^q(x,\xi,t)$

Form Factors: transverse position





arXiv:0904.0458 [hep-ph]



$$H^q(x,0,0) = q(x)$$

forward limit ξ=0, t=0

"Nucleon Tomography"

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x H^q(x,\xi,t) = F_1^q(t)$$

moments of GPDs

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

Reminder: inclusive DIS



optical theorem

forward Compton scattering amplitude

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

DVCS = hard electroproduction of a real photon

Reminder: inclusive DIS

$$\sigma_{DIS} \sim \sum_X \left| \; \right|^2$$



$$\sim \mathrm{Im}\left(\; \right)$$

optical theorem

forward Compton scattering amplitude



Skewing due to mass difference of photons

the 2 partons have different longitudinal momenta!
Skewness $\xi \neq 0$
Leading order: $\xi \approx x_B/(2-x_B)$



DVCS: $q \neq q'$

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

DVCS = hard electroproduction of a real photon

Reminder: inclusive DIS

$$\sigma_{DIS} \sim \sum_X \left| \; \right|^2$$



$$\sim \mathrm{Im}\left(\; \right)$$

optical theorem

forward Compton scattering amplitude



Skewing due to mass difference of photons

Forward limit: ξ=0, t=0

the 2 partons have different longitudinal momenta!
Skewness ξ≠0
Leading order: $\xi \approx x_B/(2-x_B)$



DVCS: q≠q'

The γ*N→γN Cross Section

$$\sigma_{\gamma^*\gamma N} = \left| \quad + \quad + \quad \right|^2$$



DVCS

Bethe–Heitler (BH)

Bjørken limit:
large Q^2 (small distances)
large energy of γ* (small times)
small t, fixed x_B

$$= |\tau_{DVCS}|^2 + |\tau_{BH}|^2 + \tau_{DVCS}\tau_{BH}^* + \tau_{DVCS}^*\tau_{BH}$$

DVCS-BH interference term $\mathcal{I}$

Contribution at colliders.
Fixed target:
$|\tau_{DVCS}|^2 \ll |\tau_{BH}|^2$

exactly calculable in QED
given the nucleon elastic form
factors F_1 and F_2

Azimuthal Dependences in γ*N→γN

- Unpolarized target
- Lepton beam with charge C_B and polarization P_B



Fourier expansion in azimuthal angle ϕ

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}|^2 = \frac{K_{\rm BH}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm BH} \cos(n\phi)$$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}|^2 = K_{\rm DVCS} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm DVCS} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_n^{\rm DVCS} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{C_B K_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{3} c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

Azimuthal Dependences in γ*N→γN

- Unpolarized target
- Lepton beam with charge C_B and polarization P_B



Fourier expansion in azimuthal angle ϕ

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}|^2 = \frac{K_{\rm BH}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm BH} \cos(n\phi)$$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}|^2 = K_{\rm DVCS} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm DVCS} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_n^{\rm DVCS} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{C_B K_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{3} c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

Bethe-Heitler propagators $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$

Azimuthal Dependences in γ*N→γN

- Unpolarized target
- Lepton beam with charge C_B and polarization P_B



Fourier expansion in azimuthal angle ϕ

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm BH}|^2 = \frac{K_{\rm BH}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm BH} \cos(n\phi)$$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\rm DVCS}|^2 = K_{\rm DVCS} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\rm DVCS} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_n^{\rm DVCS} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{C_B K_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{3} c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi) \right]$$

Bethe-Heitler propagators $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$

Wanted:
Fourier coefficients
s_n and c_n
of BH, DVCS, and $\mathcal{I}$ terms

Measured Azimuthal Asymmetries in DVCS

Born cross-section:

$$\sigma(\phi; P_B, C_B) = \sigma_{UU}(\phi) \cdot \left[1 + P_B \mathcal{A}_{LU}^{DVCS}(\phi) + C_B P_B \mathcal{A}_{LU}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi) + C_B \mathcal{A}_C(\phi)\right]$$

$\mathcal{A}_{LU}$

Beam Target

Beam helicity asymmetries

BSA:
projects out imaginary part of τ_{DVCS}

Beam charge asymmetry

BCA:
projects out real part of τ_{DVCS}

Old approach at HERMES and CLAS: single charge BSA

$$\mathcal{A}_{LU}(\phi) \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{\leftarrow}}{d\sigma^{\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$

no separate access to $s_1^{\mathcal{I}}$ and s_1^{DVCS}

$$\mathcal{A}_C(\phi) \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{+} - d\sigma^{-}}{d\sigma^{+} + d\sigma^{-}}$$

Measured Azimuthal Asymmetries in DVCS

Born cross-section:

$$\sigma(\phi; P_B, C_B) = \sigma_{UU}(\phi) \cdot \left[1 + P_B \mathcal{A}_{LU}^{DVCS}(\phi) + C_B P_B \mathcal{A}_{LU}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi) + C_B \mathcal{A}_C(\phi)\right]$$

$\mathcal{A}_{LU}$

Beam Target

Beam helicity asymmetries

BSA:
projects out imaginary part of τ_{DVCS}

Beam charge asymmetry

BCA:
projects out real part of τ_{DVCS}

Old approach at HERMES and CLAS: single charge BSA

$$\mathcal{A}_{LU}(\phi) \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{\leftarrow}}{d\sigma^{\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{\leftarrow}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_C(\phi) \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{+} - d\sigma^{-}}{d\sigma^{+} + d\sigma^{-}}$$

no separate access to $s_1^{\mathcal{I}}$ and s_1^{DVCS}

New approach at HERMES: $s_1^{\mathcal{I}}$ and s_1^{DVCS} can be disentangled

Charge difference BSA:

$$\mathcal{A}_{LU}^{I}(\phi) \equiv \frac{(d\sigma^{+\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{+\leftarrow}) - (d\sigma^{-\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{-\leftarrow})}{(d\sigma^{+\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{+\leftarrow}) + (d\sigma^{-\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{-\leftarrow})}$$

Charge average BSA:

$$\mathcal{A}_{LU}^{DVCS}(\phi) \equiv \frac{(d\sigma^{+\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{+\leftarrow}) + (d\sigma^{-\rightarrow} - d\sigma^{-\leftarrow})}{(d\sigma^{+\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{+\leftarrow}) + (d\sigma^{-\rightarrow} + d\sigma^{-\leftarrow})}$$

Relation: asymmetries ↔ Fourier coefficients

Beam helicity asymmetries:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B}{Q^2} \left[s_1^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(\phi) + s_2^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(2\phi) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\mathrm{DVCS}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B^2 t \mathcal{P}_1(\phi) \mathcal{P}_2(\phi)}{Q^2} s_1^{\mathrm{DVCS}} \sin(\phi)$$

Beam charge asymmetry:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B}{y} \left[c_0^{\mathcal{I}} + c_1^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(\phi) + c_2^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(2\phi) + c_3^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(3\phi) \right]$$

Relation: asymmetries ↔ Fourier coefficients

Beam helicity asymmetries:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B}{Q^2} \left[s_1^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(\phi) + s_2^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(2\phi) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\mathrm{DVCS}}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B^2 t \mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)}{Q^2} s_1^{\mathrm{DVCS}} \sin(\phi)$$

Beam charge asymmetry:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{C}}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(\phi)} \cdot \frac{x_B}{y} \left[c_0^{\mathcal{I}} + c_1^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(\phi) + c_2^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(2\phi) + c_3^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(3\phi) \right]$$

Additional ϕ dependence in denominator through BH propagators

$$\mathcal{D}(\phi) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\mathrm{BH}} \cos(n\phi)}{(1+\epsilon^2)^2} + \frac{x_B^2 t \mathcal{P}_1(\phi)\mathcal{P}_2(\phi)}{Q^2} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n^{\mathrm{DVCS}} \cos(n\phi)$$

From Azimuthal Asymmetries to GPDs

- To obtain Fourier coefficients = asymmetry amplitudes:
  ➤ Combine data with different beam charges and helicities and **fit all amplitudes simultaneously**

- Compton Form Factors (CFFs) $\mathcal{F}(\xi,t) = \sum_q \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x\, C_q^{\mp}(\xi,x) F^q(x,\xi,t)$ — twist-2 GPD
  - Define linear combination of CFFs: $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{unp}} = F_1\mathcal{H} + \xi(F_1+F_2)\widetilde{H} - \frac{t}{4M^2}F_2\mathcal{E}$
  - $F_1(t)$, $F_2(t)$: Dirac, Pauli nucleonic form factors

- Leading twist level (twist-2):

$$c_1^{\mathcal{I}} \propto \frac{\sqrt{-t}}{Q}\Re\left[\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{unp}}\right] \propto -\frac{Q}{\sqrt{-t}}c_0^{\mathcal{I}}$$

constant term

BCA

$$s_1^{\mathcal{I}} \propto \frac{\sqrt{-t}}{Q}\Im\left[\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{unp}}\right]$$

BSA

Holographic Principle / Femtophotography

- Wanted: 3-dim spatial picture
  - (FT)$^{-1}$ of diffraction pattern, given amplitude $\tau = Ae^{i\varphi}$
  - Need both magnitude A & phase φ
  - Usually $|\tau|^2$ is measured, phase is lost (e.g. PDFs)



Belitsky, Müller, hep-ph/0206306

- Holography technique:
  - Known BH process as reference amplitude that magnifies DVCS effect
  - Measure phase of DVCS through its interference with BH

DVCS at HERMES 1996-2005 (w/o Recoil)

Detected particles:
electron and photon

Missing mass technique for
$ep \rightarrow eX\gamma$
$M_X^2=(p+q-p_\gamma)^2$





X=p

resonant excitation: $X=\Delta^+$

$p\pi^0$

$n\pi^+$

$X=\pi^0+\ldots$

hydrogen target:
25k events
(400 pb^{-1})

unpolarized deuterium:
15k events
(300 pb^{-1})

DVCS at HERMES 1996-2005 (w/o Recoil)

Detected particles:
electron and photon

Missing mass technique for
$ep \rightarrow eX\gamma$
$M_X^2=(p+q-p_\gamma)^2$





X=p

resonant excitation: $X=\Delta^+$

$p\pi^0$

$n\pi^+$

$X=\pi^0+\ldots$

hydrogen target:
25k events
(400 pb^{-1})

unpolarized deuterium:
15k events
(300 pb^{-1})

DVCS at HERMES 1996-2005 (w/o Recoil)

Detected particles:
electron and photon

Missing mass technique for
$ep \rightarrow eX\gamma$
$M_X^2=(p+q-p_\gamma)^2$





X=p

resonant excitation: $X=\Delta^+$

$p\pi^0$

$n\pi^+$

$X=\pi^0+\ldots$

hydrogen target:
25k events
(400 pb^{-1})

unpolarized deuterium:
15k events
(300 pb^{-1})

DVCS at HERMES 1996-2005 (w/o Recoil)

Detected particles:
electron and photon

Missing mass technique for
$ep \rightarrow eX\gamma$
$M_X^2=(p+q-p_\gamma)^2$





X=p

resonant excitation: $X=\Delta^+$

$p\pi^0$

$n\pi^+$

$X=\pi^0+\ldots$

hydrogen target:
25k events
(400 pb^{-1})

unpolarized deuterium:
15k events
(300 pb^{-1})

HERMES: BSA from $\mathcal{I}$ on hydrogen



$$\propto \Im\left[F_1\mathcal{H}\right]$$

← Higher twist (twist-3)

← Fraction of resonant excitation

(*) Phys.Rev. **D60** (1999) and Prog.Nucl.Phys. **47** (2001) 401

HERMES: BSA from $\mathcal{I}$ on hydrogen



D-term to restore polynomiality

$$\propto \Im\left[F_1\mathcal{H}\right]$$

← Higher twist (twist-3)

← Fraction of resonant excitation

(*) Phys.Rev. **D60** (1999) and Prog.Nucl.Phys. **47** (2001) 401

HERMES: BSA from $\mathcal{I}$ on hydrogen



D-term to restore polynomiality

Bands by variation of skewness parameters

$$\propto \Im\left[F_1\mathcal{H}\right]$$

← Higher twist (twist-3)

← Fraction of resonant excitation

(*) Phys.Rev. **D60** (1999) and Prog.Nucl.Phys. **47** (2001) 401

HERMES: BSA from $|T_{DVCS}|^2$ on hydrogen



GPD model: Vanderhaeghen, Guichon, Guidal ("**VGG**")

Phys.Rev. **D60** (1999) and Prog.Nucl.Phys. **47** (2001) 401

Based on double distribution ansatz: "DF(x,ξ) ⊗ profile(t)".

Twist-3 included

$$\propto \left[\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^* + \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^*\right]$$

bilinear combination of CFFs

← Higher twist (twist-3)

HERMES: BCA on hydrogen



constant term:

$$\propto -A_C^{\cos\phi}$$

$$\propto \Re\left[F_1\mathcal{H}\right]$$

← Higher twist (twist-3)

← Gluon leading twist

HERMES: BCA on hydrogen



constant term:

$$\propto -A_C^{\cos\phi}$$

$$\propto \Re\left[F_1\mathcal{H}\right]$$

← Higher twist (twist-3)

← Gluon leading twist

VGG with D-term disfavored

DVCS on Nuclear Targets

- How does the nuclear environment modify parton-parton correlations?
- How do nucleon properties change in the nuclear medium?



(Bethe-Heitler)

DVCS on Nuclear Targets

- How does the nuclear environment modify parton-parton correlations?
- How do nucleon properties change in the nuclear medium?



(Bethe-Heitler)

- DVCS in coherent region:
  new insights into 'generalized EMC effect'?



- ➤ Nuclear GPDs ≠ GPDs of free nucleon
- ➤ Enhancement of effect when leaving forward limit?
  - ➢ caused by transverse motion of partons in nuclei?
  - ➢ important role of mesonic degrees of freedom?
  - ➢ manifest in strong increase of real part of τ_{DVCS} with atomic mass number A?

DVCS on Nuclear Targets

- How does the nuclear environment modify parton-parton correlations?
- How do nucleon properties change in the nuclear medium?



(Bethe-Heitler)

- DVCS in coherent region:
  new insights into 'generalized EMC effect'?



- ➤ Nuclear GPDs ≠ GPDs of free nucleon
- ➤ Enhancement of effect when leaving forward limit?
  - ➢ caused by transverse motion of partons in nuclei?
  - ➢ important role of mesonic degrees of freedom?
  - ➢ manifest in strong increase of real part of τ_{DVCS} with atomic mass number A?

HERMES measurements on nuclear targets

Target	spin	L (pb^{-1})
H	1/2	227
He	0	32
N	1	51
Ne	0	86
Kr	0	77
Xe	0, 1/2, 3/2	47

DVCS on Nuclear Targets

- How does the nuclear environment modify parton-parton correlations?
- How do nucleon properties change in the nuclear medium?



(Bethe-Heitler)

- DVCS in coherent region:
  new insights into 'generalized EMC effect'?



- ➤ Nuclear GPDs ≠ GPDs of free nucleon
- ➤ Enhancement of effect when leaving forward limit?
  - ➢ caused by transverse motion of partons in nuclei?
  - ➢ important role of mesonic degrees of freedom?
  - ➢ manifest in strong increase of real part of τ_{DVCS} with atomic mass number A?

HERMES measurements on nuclear targets

Target	spin	L (pb^{-1})
H	1/2	227
He	0	32
N	1	51
Ne	0	86
Kr	0	77
Xe	0, 1/2, 3/2	47

+ deuterium, spin-1, 300 pb^{-1}

HERMES: BCAs on hydrogen and deuterium







HERMES: BCAs on hydrogen and deuterium







HERMES: BCAs on hydrogen and deuterium







DVCS at HERMES: Nuclear mass dependence



Select for each target
two samples (t-cutoffs):

➢ **coherent enriched**

(≈ 65% coherent fraction)

➢ **incoherent enriched**

(≈ 60% incoherent fraction)

DVCS at HERMES: Nuclear mass dependence



Select for each target
two samples (t-cutoffs):

➢ **coherent enriched**

(≈ 65% coherent fraction)

➢ **incoherent enriched**

(≈ 60% incoherent fraction)

BCA vs. A



BSA ratio: nuclear / free proton vs. A



DVCS at HERMES: Nuclear mass dependence



Select for each target two samples (t-cutoffs):

➢ **coherent enriched**

(≈ 65% coherent fraction)

➢ **incoherent enriched**

(≈ 60% incoherent fraction)

No nuclear mass dependence of BCA and BSA observed within uncertainties

⇒ no enhancement of τ_{DVCS}

BSA ratio: nuclear / free proton vs. A



BCA vs. A



Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \; x \left[H^q(x, \xi, t) + E^q(x, \xi, t) \right]$$

Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \; x \left[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t) \right]$$

Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x\; x\,[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t)]$$

Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

vector mesons
ρ^0, ω, ϕ

pseudoscalar mesons
π^+, η

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \; x \left[H^q(x, \xi, t) + E^q(x, \xi, t) \right]$$

Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

vector mesons
ρ^0, ω, ϕ

pseudoscalar mesons
π^+, η

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\,[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t)]$$

Exclusivity at HERMES in a Nutshell



GPD access at HERMES:

nucleon helicity	quark helicity independent	quark helicity dependent
	photon: $J^P=1^-$ (DVCS)	
conserved	**H**: A_C, A_{LU}, A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$: A_{UL}, [A_{UT}]
flipped	**E**: A_{UT}	$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$: [A_{UT}]
	$J^P=1^-$ mesons	$J^P=0^-$ mesons

vector mesons
ρ^0, ω, ϕ

pseudoscalar mesons
π^+, η

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{-1}^{1} dx\, x\,[H^q(x,\xi,t) + E^q(x,\xi,t)]$$

DVCS Transverse Target Spin Asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{UT}(\phi,\phi_S)$



* $\mathcal{A}_{UT}$: the only DVCS asymmetry on the proton for which **GPD E is not suppressed**

(Hall-A: BSA on neutron)

* **HERMES:** transversely polarized hydrogen, 170 pb^{-1}, 2 beam charges
  - ➢ Separation of DVCS and interference terms possible

$$A_{UT}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi,\phi_s) \propto \left[d\sigma^{+}(\phi,\phi_s) - d\sigma^{-}(\phi,\phi_s)\right] - \left[d\sigma^{+}(\phi,\phi_s+\pi) - d\sigma^{-}(\phi,\phi_s+\pi)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{UT}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi,\phi_s) \propto\ & \mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\mathcal{H} - F_1\mathcal{E}\right)\sin(\phi-\phi_s)\cos\phi \\ +\ & \mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\tilde{\mathcal{H}} - (F_1+\xi F_2)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\right)\cos(\phi-\phi_s)\sin\phi \end{aligned}$$

DVCS Transverse Target Spin Asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{UT}(\phi,\phi_S)$



* $\mathcal{A}_{UT}$: the only DVCS asymmetry on the proton for which **GPD E is not suppressed**

(Hall-A: BSA on neutron)

* **HERMES:** transversely polarized hydrogen, 170 pb^{-1}, 2 beam charges

➢ Separation of DVCS and interference terms possible

$A_{UT}^{DVCS}(\phi,\phi_S)$

also sensitive to GPD E (bilinear combination)

$$A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi,\phi_s) \propto \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma^{+}(\phi,\phi_s)-\mathrm{d}\sigma^{-}(\phi,\phi_s)\right] \pm \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma^{+}(\phi,\phi_s+\pi)-\mathrm{d}\sigma^{-}(\phi,\phi_s+\pi)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\phi,\phi_s) \propto\ & \mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\mathcal{H}-F_1\mathcal{E}\right)\sin(\phi-\phi_s)\cos\phi \\ +\ & \mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}-(F_1+\xi F_2)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\right)\cos(\phi-\phi_s)\sin\phi \end{aligned}$$

HERMES DVCS $\mathcal{A}_{UT}$ Amplitudes



sensitive to J_u:

$$\mathrm{Im}(F_2\mathcal{H} - F_1\mathcal{E}) \cdot \sin(\phi-\phi_s)\cos(n\phi)$$

NOT sensitive to J_u:

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - (F_1+\xi F_2)\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\right) \cdot \cos(\phi-\phi_s)\sin\phi$$

Model: VGG with variation of J_u, while J_d=0

HERMES DVCS $\mathcal{A}_{UT}$ Amplitudes



sensitive to J_u:

$$\mathrm{Im}(F_2\mathcal{H} - F_1\mathcal{E}) \cdot \sin(\phi - \phi_s)\cos(n\phi)$$

With a GPD model describing the data, one could in principle extract a constraint on $J_u + k \cdot J_d$

NOT sensitive to J_u:

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(F_2\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - (F_1 + \xi F_2)\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\right) \cdot \cos(\phi - \phi_s)\sin\phi$$

Model: VGG with variation of J_u, while J_d=0

HERMES 2006-2007: Recoil Detector



¹H (²H): factor of 1.6 (0.5) more than 1996-2005

Purpose:

★ **To tag exclusive events**

➢ Identify recoiling target proton
➢ Identify particles from background processes

The Silicon Strip Detector (SSD)





$E_{kin} \approx 8$ MeV for protons

- Purpose:
  - Track reconstruction
  - Momenta: > 125 MeV/c
  - PID for low and medium momenta
- 2 layers of 16 double-sided sensors
  - (10 cm $\times$ 10 cm) active area
  - 300 μm thickness
- Inside accelerator vacuum, 5 cm close to electron beam

to reach as low t as possible

The Scintillating Fiber Tracker (SFT)



- Purpose:
  - Track reconstruction
  - Momenta: 250-1400 MeV/c (protons)
  - PID for medium and high momenta
- 2 Barrels with each 4 layers of scintillating fibers
- Per Barrel:
  - 2 parallel layers
  - 2 stereo-layers
  - Stereo angle: 10°



The Photon Detector (PD)

- Purpose:
  - Detection of photons from resonance decay $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$
  - PID for $p > 600$ MeV/c
- 3 layers of tungsten/scintillator sandwich
  - 1 layer parallel to beam axis
  - 2 layers under +45°/-45° angles

Tracking with the Recoil Detector

Energy Deposit in the SSD



Transverse View of Detector



- **Low-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\sum_i \Delta E_i)^{-1}$
- **Medium-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\frac{dE}{dx})^{-1}$ (Bethe-Bloch)
- **Higher-energy particles (protons/pions)**: momentum $\propto eB\rho$

Tracking with the Recoil Detector

Energy Deposit in the SSD



Transverse View of Detector



- **Low-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\sum_i \Delta E_i)^{-1}$
- **Medium-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\frac{dE}{dx})^{-1}$ (Bethe-Bloch)
- **Higher-energy particles (protons/pions)**: momentum $\propto eB\rho$

Tracking with the Recoil Detector

Energy Deposit in the SSD



Transverse View of Detector



- **Low-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\sum_i \Delta E_i)^{-1}$
- **Medium-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\frac{dE}{dx})^{-1}$ (Bethe-Bloch)
- **Higher-energy particles (protons/pions)**: momentum $\propto eB\rho$

Tracking with the Recoil Detector

Energy Deposit in the SSD



Transverse View of Detector



- **Low-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\sum_i \Delta E_i)^{-1}$
- **Medium-energy protons**: momentum $\propto (\frac{dE}{dx})^{-1}$ (Bethe-Bloch)
- **Higher-energy particles (protons/pions)**: momentum $\propto eB\rho$

Reconstructed Momenta and Angles



- Recoiling target protons
  - Large θ-angles $\lesssim 90°$
  - Small momenta < 1 GeV/c
- Azimuthal ϕ coverage: 76%



- ΔE accounted for in track fitting

$\Rightarrow \Delta p/p$ improvement

Proton / Pion Separation with the Recoil

- $p < 600$ MeV/c: SSD + SFT (6 layers)
- $p > 600$ MeV/c: include PD
- Log-likelihood formalism:

$$\mathrm{PID} \equiv \log \frac{\mathcal{P}(\Delta E|\mathrm{proton}, p)}{\mathcal{P}(\Delta E|\mathrm{pion}, p)}$$



p<450 MeV/c, PIDcut=0:
pion contamination ≈0.1%
proton efficiency >99%



DVCS and the Recoil



- **Missing ϕ:** $\Delta\phi = \phi_{\mathrm{meas}} - \phi_{\mathrm{calc}}$
- **Missing p:** $\Delta p = p_{\mathrm{meas}} - p_{\mathrm{calc}}$

Missing Mass ($\approx M_P^2$):

$$M_X^2 = (p + p_{\gamma^*} - p_\gamma)^2$$





Separation of Resonant States in DVCS

DVCS / Bethe Heitler

- Elastic:
  - $ep \to ep\gamma$
- Resonant ('associated'):
  - $ep \to e\Delta^+\gamma$

$$\Delta^+ \to \begin{cases} n\pi^+, & 1/3 \\ p\pi^0, & 2/3 \end{cases}$$

  - 12% of signal
- Presence of $\pi^0 \Rightarrow$ proton fails coplanarity cut
  - Select elastic:
    - ★ $|\Delta\phi| < 0.1$ rad
    - ★ $|p_T^{\mathrm{calc}}|/|p_T^{\mathrm{meas}}| = 0.5 \div 1.5$
  - Select resonant:
    - ★ $|\Delta\phi| > 0.35$ rad



Separation of Resonant States in DVCS

DVCS / Bethe Heitler

- Elastic:
  - $ep \to ep\gamma$
- Resonant ('associated'):
  - $ep \to e\Delta^+\gamma$

$$\Delta^+ \to \begin{cases} n\pi^+, & 1/3 \\ p\pi^0, & 2/3 \end{cases}$$

  - 12% of signal
- Presence of $\pi^0 \Rightarrow$ proton fails coplanarity cut
  - Select elastic:
    - ★ $|\Delta\phi| < 0.1$ rad
    - ★ $|p_T^{\mathrm{calc}}|/|p_T^{\mathrm{meas}}| = 0.5 \div 1.5$
  - Select resonant:
    - ★ $|\Delta\phi| > 0.35$ rad



Preliminarily tuned on MC

Exclusive Mesons and the Recoil











DVCS Beam Helicity Asymmetry with Recoil





Pre-preliminary, private analysis

DVCS Beam Helicity Asymmetry with Recoil

Pre-preliminary, private analysis

DVCS Beam Helicity Asymmetry with Recoil





Pre-preliminary, private analysis

DVCS Beam Helicity Asymmetry with Recoil











Pre-preliminary, private analysis

← **Elastic fraction: >95%**

Summary

- Generalized Parton Distributions
  - Give glimpse of three-dimensional structure of nucleons
  - Allow to access total angular momentum carried by quarks

- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering:
  the golden channel to study GPDs
  - Measurements of cross sections and asymmetries as input to GPD constraints and fits
  - Measurement of various azimuthal asymmetries at HERMES and other fixed target experiments

- HERMES high lumi run 2006/2007 with Recoil detector
  - Exclusive event tagging
  - First time: separation of elastic and resonant BSA in DVCS

Extraction of GPD H(x,ξ=x,t) from DVCS data





DVCS
Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering

Extraction of GPD H(x,ξ=x,t) from DVCS data



Kinematics	H1/ZEUS	HERMES	JLab
x_B	$10^{-2}...10^{-4}$	0.03...0.35	0.1...0.5
Q^2 [GeV²]	1...100	1...10	1...5
W [GeV]	30...170	3...6	>2
|t| [GeV²]	0.08...1	0.01...0.7	0.1...0.6



DVCS
Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering



HERA: DVCS Cross Section Measurements

W and Q^2 dependence



- Detected particles
  - H1: electron and photon
  - ZEUS: electron, photon
    subsample: proton tagged
- BH contribution (MC) subtracted from 'DVCS-enriched' sample
- Steep W^{δ} dependence
  - $\delta \approx 0.7$, independent of Q^2
  - DVCS is hard process
    - high W = low x_B
    - gluons resolved
- Decrease of σ_{DVCS} with Q^2
  - Q^{2n} dependence, $n \approx 1.5$
  - slower than for exclusive vector mesons

HERA: Transverse Extension of Partons

DVCS cross section differential in t

- Extract $d\sigma/dt$ in bins of Q^2 and W
- Ansatz for quark GPDs: exp(-b|t|)
- t slope ➡ average impact parameter
  - Description of transverse extension of parton
  - Size of proton core (w/o soft periphery)

$$\sqrt{\langle r_T^2 \rangle} = (0.65 \pm 0.02)\ \mathrm{fm} \quad \text{at } x_\mathrm{B} = 10^{-3}$$



Hall A: DVCS Cross Section Measurements

- E00-110 in Hall A at JLab
  5.75 GeV e- beam on H_2 target
  76% beam polarization
- Detected: e-, γ, proton
- Valence quark region

Cross section vs. azimuthal angle ∠(γ*,γ)



Hall A: DVCS Cross Section Measurements

- E00-110 in Hall A at JLab
  5.75 GeV e- beam on H_2 target
  76% beam polarization
- Detected: e-, γ, proton
- Valence quark region

Helicity-dependent

$\mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{I})$

sinϕ behavior

GPDs @ x=ξ

Helicity-independent

$\mathcal{R}e(\mathcal{I})$

main contributions:
BH + twist-2 interference part
negligible: twist-3 and |DVCS|^2

integral of GPDs over x

Cross section vs. azimuthal angle ∠(γ*,γ)



Hall A: DVCS Cross Section Measurements

- E00-110 in Hall A at JLab
  5.75 GeV e- beam on H_2 target
  76% beam polarization
- Detected: e-, γ, proton
- Valence quark region

Helicity-dependent

$\mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{I})$

sinϕ behavior

GPDs @ x=ξ

Helicity-independent

$\mathcal{R}e(\mathcal{I})$

main contributions:
BH + twist-2 interference part
negligible: twist-3 and |DVCS|²

integral of GPDs over x

Cross section vs. azimuthal angle ∠(γ*,γ)



Computed BH contribution
< helicity-independent cross section

Important to keep in mind for denominator of beam helicity asymmetry = $d^4\Sigma/d^4\sigma$

Hall A: DVCS Cross Section Measurements

- E00-110 in Hall A at JLab
  5.75 GeV e- beam on H_2 target
  76% beam polarization
- Detected: e-, γ, proton
- Valence quark region

Helicity-dependent ← Helicity-independent

$\mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{I})$

sinϕ behavior

GPDs @ x=ξ

$\mathcal{R}e(\mathcal{I})$

main contributions:
BH + twist-2 interference part
negligible: twist-3 and |DVCS|²

integral of GPDs over x

No Q^2 dependence of $\mathcal{I}m(\mathcal{I})$ observed

➤ **Indication of factorization**

➤ **GPDs accessible at moderate Q^2**

Cross section vs. azimuthal angle ∠(γ*,γ)



Computed BH contribution
< helicity-independent cross section

Important to keep in mind for denominator of beam helicity asymmetry = $d^4\Sigma/d^4\sigma$

HERA/H1: Beam Charge Asymmetry



- First measurement at a collider (low $x_B=10^{-4}...10^{-2}$)
  - $6.5 < Q^2 < 80$ GeV^2, $30 < W < 140$ GeV, $|t|<1$ GeV^2
- Positive cosϕ amplitude $0.16\pm0.04\pm0.06$

  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}e(\tau_{DVCS}) > 0$
- Ratio $\rho = \mathcal{R}e(\tau_{DVCS}) / \mathcal{I}m(\tau_{DVCS})$
  - $\rho=0.20 \pm 0.05(stat) \pm 0.08(sys)$
  - In good agreement with calculation from dispersion relation

Nuclear DVCS at HERMES



Hydrogen, Krypton, Xenon:
2 beam charges

BCA

BSA sensitive to
squared DVCS term

Nuclear DVCS at HERMES



Nuclear DVCS at HERMES





BSA for Hydrogen, Helium, Nitrogen, Neon, Krypton, Xenon

Corrections √ and systematic uncertainties ■

- (√, ■) Shift of exclusive peak between e^- and e^+ data (small)
- (√, ■) Semi-inclusive and exclusive background
  ⇒ Fractions from Monte Carlo
- (■) Acceptance, bin-width, smearing and detector misalignment (main contribution)
  ⇒ Estimated from Monte Carlo simulation employing range of available models
  ⇒ Model dependence
- The contributions from the resonance region, e.g.

$$eN \rightarrow e\Delta^+\gamma$$

stays part of the signal, in average 12%!
The underlying **"associated" asymmetry is unknown!**

Acceptance, Bin-width, Smearing and Misalignment



The difference between "model-generated" and in the HERMES acceptance reconstructed MC amplitudes is taken as sytematic uncertainty

Some Literature

- Selected GPD review articles:
  - A. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B380, 417 (1996); Phys. Rev. D56, 5524 (1997)
  - A.V. Belitsky, D. Mueller and A. Kirchner, *Nucl. Phys. B* **629** (2002), p. 323
  - M. Diehl, Phys. Rept. 388, 41 (2003).
- Hermes DVCS data:
  - Transverse target spin asymmetry: HERMES Collaboration, A. Airapetian et al., JHEP 0806, 066 (2008).
  - Beam helicity and beam charge asymmetries on hydrogen, deuterium and nuclear targets:
    3 publications in fall 2009
  - Longitudinal target spin asymmetries on hydrogen and deuterium to come