Searching for Factorization-Breaking Effects via Two-Particle Correlation Measurements in Hadronic Collisions



Christine A. Aidala University of Michigan



APS April Meeting January 29, 2017



Transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) factorization breaking and color entanglement

- 2010: Rogers and Mulders predict *color entanglement* in processes involving p+p production of hadrons if parton transverse momentum taken into account
- Due to gluon exchange between scattering parton and proton remnant in *both* initial and final state
- Partons become correlated *across* the two colliding protons
 - Can no longer factorize the nonperturbative functions into independent pdfs and fragmentation functions
 - Will need new (unknown) nonperturbative functions describing quantum-correlated partons across bound states
- Consequence of QCD specifically as a *non-Abelian* gauge theory!



$$p + p \rightarrow h_1 + h_2 + X$$

Color flow can't be described as flow in the two gluons separately. Requires simultaneous presence of both.



Searching for evidence of predicted TMD-factorization breaking at RHIC

- Need observable sensitive to a nonperturbative momentum scale
 - Nearly back-to-back particle production
- Need 2 initial-state hadrons
 - color exchange between a scattering parton and remnant of other proton
- And at least 1 final-state hadron
 - exchange between scattered parton and either remnant

→ In p+p collisions, measure out-ofplane momentum component in nearly back-to-back photon-hadron and hadron-hadron production





Out-of-plane momentum component distributions

- Clear two-component distribution
 - Gaussian near zero nonperturbative transverse momentum
 - Power-law at large
 p_{out}—kicks from hard
 (perturbative) gluon
 radiation
- Different colors → different bins of trigger particle p_T, proxy for hard interaction scale

PHENIX Collab., arXiv:1609.04769



Curves are fits to Gaussian and Kaplan functions, not calculations!



Look at evolution of nonperturbative transverse momentum widths with hard scale (Q^2)

- Theoretical proof of factorization within transverse-momentumdependent framework directly predicts that nonpertubative transverse momentum widths *increase* as a function of the hard scattering energy scale (Collins-Soper-Sterman evolution)
 - Increased phase space for gluon radiation



Look at evolution of nonperturbative transverse momentum widths with hard scale (Q^2)

- Theoretical proof of factorization within transverse-momentumdependent framework directly predicts that nonpertubative transverse momentum widths *increase* as a function of the hard scattering energy scale (Collins-Soper-Sterman evolution)
 - Increased phase space for gluon radiation
- Confirmed experimentally in semi-inclusive deep-inelastic leptonnucleon scattering (left) and quark-antiquark annihilation to leptons (right)



Nonperturbative momentum widths observed to decrease in processes where factorization breaking predicted



PHENIX Collab., arXiv:1609.04769

- Suggestive of TMD-factorization breaking effects?
- Have not yet completely ruled out a "trivial" nonperturbative correlation between partonic longitudinal momentum fraction x and partonic transverse momentum k_T
- Steeper negative slope for photon-hadron than dihadron correlations—counterintuitive?
 - Photon can't exchange gluon with remnant—might expect weaker effects than dihadron case



Nonperturbative momentum widths observed to decrease in processes where factorization breaking predicted



PHENIX Collab., arXiv:1609.04769

- Slope of decrease for both photon-hadron and dihadron correlations reproduced ~exactly in PYTHIA p+p event generator—could this effect be in PYTHIA??
 - Effectively yes! Unlike analytic pQCD calculations, PYTHIA forces *entire event including remnants* to color neutralize, implemented via something they call "color reconnection"



Comparing simulated Drell-Yan to simulated dihadron and photon-hadron



Example of TMD-factorized process: Drell-Yan/Z production in PYTHIA simulation. Momentum width increases with hard scale (M) as expected in CSS evolution





Non-TMD-factorized process: π^0+h , $\gamma+h$ Data and PYTHIA simulation: Both show width decreases with scale (p_T^{trig}) in contrast to prediction from CSS evolution





C. Aidala, APS April Mtg., 1/29/17

Dihadron correlations in p+A collisions

- Stronger gluon field from nuclear remnant than proton remnant → stronger potential effects due to factorization breaking?
- Gaussian transition to power law observed in p_{out} distribution as for p+p case
- Again decreasing widths with hard scale, for both p+Au and p+Al
 - Steeper than than (higher-energy) p+p?
 - 200 GeV p+p analysis underway
- Larger momentum widths than p+p
 - Larger initial-state k_T in nucleus?
 - Multiple scattering in nucleus?





Dihadron correlations in p+A collisions: Centrality dependence

- Unexpected qualitative behavior—steeper negative slope of momentum width versus hard scale for more *peripheral* p+Au collisions
- Most *central* p+Au collisions show most similar slope to p+p





Summary

- We're rolling up our sleeves and ready to start tackling QCD at new levels these years, explicitly digging into its non-Abelian nature
 - Hadronic collisions give access to QCD in its full glory!
- Will be exciting to continue testing and exploring ideas and phenomena related to color flow and factorization breaking in upcoming years . . .







Possible links to "color coherence" at Tevatron and LHC?

- D0, CDF, CMS have all published evidence for "color coherence effects"
 - CMS: EPJ C74, 2901 (2014)
 - CDF: PRD50, 5562 (1994)
 - D0: PLB414, 419 (1997)
- Few citations—relatively little-known work thus far. Have initiated discussion with CMS to get different communities talking
 - Detailed color flow effects in QCD an emerging area!





Other measurements showing decreasing nonperturbative momentum widths

- Other RHIC publications show the same effect in $\sqrt{\langle p_{out}^2 \rangle}$ and away-side width
- All previous analyses motivated by different physics goals: fragmentation functions, partonic energy loss in QGP, etc.



PRD 82, 072001 (2010) (PHENIX)

1.4<p_<5.0 GeV/c exp(-sin²) / 2(p²) · cost [GeV/c] p_{__}sino, 1.5 **"** 0.5 0 2 10 p_{_1} [GeV/c] Away-side Width [rad] π⁰-h[±] 2<p^{essoc}<3 GeV/c (PRL 104, 252301)</p> -h[±] 1.4<p_^{essoc}<5 GeV/c (PRD 74, 072002)</p> jet-h[±] 0.2<p_^{essoc}<17 GeV/c (PRL 112, 122301)</p> 0.5 p+p at Vs=200 GeV 0.4 STAR and PHENIX 0.3 0.2 25 p________[GeV/c] 5 10 15 20

PRD 74, 072002 (2006) (PHENIX)



$RMS p_{out} vs. x_T$





<u>Modified universality</u> of certain transversemomentum-dependent distributions: Color in action!

Deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering: Final-state color exchange



Quark-antiquark annihilation to leptons: Initial-state color exchange



As a result, get *opposite sign* for the Sivers transversemomentum-dependent pdf when measure in semi-inclusive DIS versus Drell-Yan: *process-dependent* pdf! (Collins 2002)



Partonic process contributions for direct photon production



Quark-gluon Compton scattering still dominates at NLO -PLB140, 87 (1984)

PHENIX Collab., arXiv:1609.04769, Submitted to PRD. Calculation by T. Kaufmann



PYTHIA p_{out} distributions

- PYTHIA π⁰-h[±] and isolated γ-h[±] correlations analyzed similarly to data
- PYTHIA exhibits similar characteristics to data: nonperturbative transitioning to perturbative region
- Initial and final state interactions possible in PYTHIA: all particles are forced to color neutralize





PYTHIA Drell-Yan

- Can check if PYTHIA also reproduces CSS evolution with DY dimuon production
- Construct same observable $p_{out} = p_T^{lep} \sin \Delta \phi$ between two nearly back-to-back leptons
- PYTHIA confirms expectation from CSS evolution for same observable



- Note rate of increase is significantly larger in magnitude also
- Red solid line shows log fit, blue dotted line shows linear fit



Nonperturbative momentum measurements in Drell-Yan and Z production





C. Aidala, APS April Mtg., 1/29/17

Two-particle correlation distributions show expected jet-like structure



PHENIX Collab., arXiv:1609.04769



C. Aidala, APS April Mtg., 1/29/17

PYTHIA $\langle z_T \rangle$ Correction

- Direct photons emerge directly from hard scattering, π⁰s are a fragment
- Thus a more direct comparison is between *p*_T^{trig} for direct photon and jet *p*_T^{trig} for π⁰
- Determine $\langle z_T \rangle = p_T^{\pi^0} / \hat{p}_T^{parton}$ using PYTHIA, "correct" π^0 p_T^{trig} to get $p_T^{jet} = p_T^{trig,\pi^0} / \langle z_T \rangle$



