Physics Consequences of Various eRHIC Designs

Abhay Deshpande Stony Brook University & RBRC June 13, 2005

Designs

♦ Ring Ring (ZDR)

Linac-Ring (Are we separating these in this review?)

- Linac-Ring (MIN) 1 IR
- Linac-Ring (MAX) 4 IRs



Physics Frontiers of eRHIC

Nucleon structure

- Quark and gluon distributions & correlations
- Understanding the nucleon spin structure
- Study of hard scattering in nuclear environment
- Study of extreme nuclear environment!
 - Precision study of physics of saturation or color glass condensate

3

Tools needed for physics goals

Nucleon structure including its spin

- Polarized lepton
- Polarized nucleon beams
- High enough beam energy
- Beam energy variability
- QCD in nuclear environment
 - Nuclear targets from p to heavy nuclei Pb U with few intermediate steps
 - Energy variation of the beam
- At least one state-of-the-art e-p/A detector

BNL C-AD Machine Advisory Committee on eRHIC

Advantages of a collider

 Geometry: inclusive, semi-inclusive, exclusive measurement

- High Center of Mass energy
- For spin experiments in addition: lowest dilution factor
- A cartoon of geometry

5

Deep Inelastic Scattering & Types of Scattering

- Feynman diagram
- Inclusive, semi-inclusive and exclusive measurements
- Comments on luminosity requirements
- Comments on detector acceptance and its close correlation with the IR design
 - Reference to talks by B. Surrow & C. Montag et al.

Nucleon structure (I)

Physics topics of interest

- High statistics measurement of c,b physics
- Low x, low Q² measurements related to physics of confinement
- Transition from QCD to perturbative QCD
- F_L
- Comment on equipment requirement if unique (accelerator parameter or detector issues)

Nucleon Structure (II)

 High η physics or forward physics with dedicated detector

- Acceptance requirement details in Surrow's talk?
- Comment on the IR design requirements and refer to C. Montag's talk
- Possible connection to linac or ring design

8

Nucleon spin structure (I)

- Spin program measurement list
- Comments on uniqueness of some measurements and unique requirements
- Emphasis on electron and positron requirements for W+/- requirements
- Emphasis on GPDs (beam charge/spin asymmetries) and emphasize that this is a evolving physics field, the terrain in 2013-15 might be very different than today

Nucleon Spin Structure (II)





BNL C-AD Machine Advisory
Committee on eRHIC

QCD in nuclear environment

Intermediate and low x physics

- Intermediate x physics first time in collider mode, ability to study target as well as current fragments
- Low x physics believed to be gluon related, thus precision measurement of gluon distribution, main goal:
 - Description of how this will be accomplished
 - Scaling violations of F₂
 - ♦ F_L measurements: beam energy variation
 - ♦ Semi-inclusive: di-jet physics

BNL C-AD Machine Advisory Committee on eRHIC

QCD in nuclear environment (II)



BNL C-AD Machine Advisory
Committee on eRHIC

eRHIC: xq2 domain





BNL C-AD Machine Advisory
Committee on eRHIC

eRHIC: CM vs luminosity domain





BNL C-AD Machine Advisory
Committee on eRHIC

What physicists see in the two Ring-Ring designs?

Polarized electron & positron beams

- ~15% effect on beam polarization vs. CM energy
- $L \sim 10^{33} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ (about 80 pb⁻¹/day)
- CM ~ 30 100 GeV
- Single IR
- Ring-Linac
 - Polarized electron, <u>un-polarized</u> positron beams
 - Beam polarization transparent to CM energy
 - L ~ 10³⁴ cm²/sec
 - CM ~ 30 140 GeV (add linac)
 - Multiple IRs
- Detector/IR issues: Refer to B. Surrow, C. Montag et al.
 - BNL C-AD Machine Advisory

6/13/2005

5 Committee on eRHIC

What physics at what luminosity?

 Physics measurements and integrated luminosity (table? Bullets?) or any other way of conveying this....



BNL C-AD Machine Advisory

What will we loose if RR?

- Most physics goals presented could be achieved
 - Acceptance in IR good
 - Luminosity does not drop by more than factor 4-5 compared to original design request 10³³ cm²/sec
- Exclusive physics program promises to lead to orbital angular momentum in future(?) This could be challenging!
 - DVCS
 - Vector meson production
 - Leading to Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs)
- Uniqueness of low x for this physics just being explored
 - BNL C-AD Machine Advisory

6/13/2005

7 Committee on eRHIC

What will we loose with LR?

 High luminosity, upgrade possibility of future for energy and number of IRs, transparency in beam polarization through the CM energy: all very attractive features

- No polarized positron beams
 - No W+/-, disentanglement of heavy quark distributions
 - Detailed slide of this physics with luminosity requirements and asymmetry
 - Do GPD studies of the future need polarized positron as well as electron beams? Opinion is divided... even amongst experts. Under discussion

RR vs. LR correlated issues

Number of IRs

- Consequences
- LR only interesting if 4 IRs?
- Luminosity comparison
 - Consequences
 - Only one IR still worth going for?
- Future upgrade issues?
- Time scale for technical feasibility
 - Interests of different physics groups
- Cost comparison

6/13/2005

BNL C-AD Machine Advisory

9 Committee on eRHIC

RR vs. LR other Issues (II)





2 BNL C-AD Machine Advisory0 Committee on eRHIC

Concluding thoughts

- No matter which design realizes eRHIC will be a unique facility for QCD in the next decade
- Interest growing and expected to increase
 - May impose more stringent constraints on luminosity, polarization, detector requirement
- ♦ Far from any final decisions on the design
 - Work on both should continue until the decision needs to be made



- 2 BNL C-AD Machine Advisory
- 1 Committee on eRHIC