Exploring QCD at high energy in DIS off nucleons and nuclei

Anna Stasto Penn State & RIKEN BNL

EIC Meeting at Stony Brook, January 11, 2010

Outline

- What have we learned from HERA.
- High gluon densities and parton saturation.
- Hints of saturation at HERA and RHIC.
- Why next ep/eA collider? New directions and possibilities.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \sum_{\text{flavours}} \bar{q}_a (iD^\mu \gamma_\mu - m_f)_{ab} q_b$$

Field strength:

 $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \sum_{\text{flavours}} \bar{q}_a (iD^\mu \gamma_\mu - m_f)_{ab} q_b$$

Field strength:

 $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$

Asymptotic freedom



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \sum_{\text{flavours}} \bar{q}_a (iD^\mu \gamma_\mu - m_f)_{ab} q_b$$

Field strength:

Asymptotic freedom

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$

HERA e" 0.25 ZEUS H1 0.2 0.15 JCD $\alpha_{a}(M_{\gamma}) = 0.118 \pm 0.003$ 0.1 10² 10 Er (GeV) Small Large scales scales

- Rich and very complicated structure due to non-linear interactions of gluons.
- Emergent phenomena: confinement, Regge trajectories, hadron spectrum.
- Extremely complex dynamics at high energies or at small Bjorken x.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a + \sum_{\text{flavours}} \bar{q}_a (iD^\mu \gamma_\mu - m_f)_{ab} q_b$$

Field strength:

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$$



- Rich and very complicated structure due to non-linear interactions of gluons.
- Emergent phenomena: confinement, Regge trajectories, hadron spectrum.
- Extremely complex dynamics at high energies or at small Bjorken x.

Understanding of the dynamics of the gluon fields is of fundamental importance.

How do we know that gluons play such an important role at high energies?

Deep Inelastic Scattering Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):

Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



 $\boldsymbol{\ell}$

Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



Photon virtuality: $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$

Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



Photon virtuality: $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$

Total energy of the photonproton system

 $s = (p+q)^2$

Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



Photon virtuality: $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$

Total energy of the photonproton system $s = (p+q)^2$



Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



 $\int_{\sigma} \sigma^{\gamma^* p} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2} x f(x, Q^2)$

Photon virtuality: $Q^2 = -q^2 > 0$

Total energy of the photonproton system $s = (p+q)^2$



Scattering of electron off a hadron(proton):



× fraction of the longitudinal momentum of the proton carried by the quark

x=6.32 10⁻⁵ x=0.000102 HERA F₂ x=0.000161 x=0.000253 ZEUS NLO QCD fit x=0.0004 x=0.0005 5 H1 PDF 2000 fit =0.000632 x=0.0008 H1 94-00 x=0.0013 H1 (prel.) 99/00 x=0.0021 ZEUS 96/97 4 A BCDMS x=0.0032 E665 x=0.005 NMC x=0.008 з x=0.013 x=0.021 x=0.032 2 x=0.05 x=0.08 x=0.13 x=0.18 1 ¥=0.25 x=0.4 x=0.65 0 10⁵ 10³ 10² 10⁴ 10 1 $Q^2(GeV^2)$

Observation of large scaling violations.

DIS $\frac{d^2 \sigma^{ep \to eX}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{4\pi \alpha_{em}^2}{xQ^4} \left[\left(1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2} \right) F_2(x, Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{2} F_L(x, Q^2) \right]$



Observation of large scaling violations.



 $\frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm em}^2}{xQ^4} \left[\left(1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2}\right) F_2(x, Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{2} F_L(x, Q^2) \right]$ DIS $dx dQ^2$ x=6.32 10⁻⁵ x=0.000102 HERA F₂ =0.000161 0.000253 EUS NLO QCD fit 0.0004 =0.0005 5 11 PDF 2000 fit 000632 =0.0008 H1 94-00 x=0.0013 H1 (prel.) 99/00 x=0.0021 ZEUS 96/97 A BCDMS x=0.0032 E665 NMC x=0.005 x=0.008 з x=0.013 x=0.021 x=0.032 2 x=0.05 x=0.08 x=0.18 1 ¥=0.25 x=0.4 x=0.65 0 10² 10^{3} 10⁵ 10⁴ 10 1 $Q^2(GeV^2)$

Observation of large scaling violations.

Gluon density dominates at small x!







Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies

Scale

 $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$





Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies Scale $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

gluon density



 Q^2

S

 $x \sim$



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies Scale $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

gluon density



 $x \sim$

S



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies Scale $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

gluon density



 Q^2

S

 $x \sim$



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies Scale $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

gluon density



 Q^2

S

 $x \sim$



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies

 $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ Scale

gluon density



 $x \sim Q^2$

400

S



Extrapolation of gluon density to various energies

Scale

 $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

 $x \sim$ S

gluon density

- Increase of the gluon density either via increasing energy or increasing size of the projectile (AA)
- Very small x probed at LHC and Cosmic Ray energies.
- Large gluon densities.



Why the gluon density grows?

Grows with:

increasing Q (resolution)
decreasing x (increasing energy)

DGLAP evolution: in Q^2

 P_{ab}

Increasing photon virtuality, means increasing resolution. More parton fluctuations resolved.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2 / \Lambda^2} f_a(x, Q^2) = P_{ab} \otimes f(x, Q^2)$$

splitting function

describes splitting of parton b into a

BFKL evolution: in x

Decreasing x or increasing total energy s. Resolution is fixed. Opening the kinematic phase space for more parton emissions.

Again linear evolution in density, differential equation in x

Very fast increase of the density with small x!

Parton Saturation

Can the gluon density rise forever? How fast?



Can the gluon density rise forever? How fast?

very large density of gluons



Can the gluon density rise forever? How fast?

very large density of gluons



Can the gluon density rise forever?

Froissart bound is a limit on energy behavior of the total cross section:

 $\sigma_{hh}^{\text{TOT}} \le \frac{\pi}{m_{\pi}^2} (\ln s)^2$

Can the gluon density rise forever?

Froissart bound is a limit on energy behavior of the total cross section:

 $\sigma_{hh}^{\text{TOT}} \le \frac{\pi}{m_{\pi}^2} (\ln s)^2$

Origin of growth of the cross section:



Can the gluon density rise forever?

Froissart bound is a limit on energy behavior of the total cross section:

$$\sigma_{hh}^{\text{TOT}} \le \frac{\pi}{m_{\pi}^2} (\ln s)^2$$

Origin of growth of the cross section:

- Growth in the interaction area.
- Limited by the confinement.
- Strong force is short range: $R \rightarrow 1/m_{\pi}$


Can the gluon density rise forever?

Froissart bound is a limit on energy behavior of the total cross section:

$$\sigma_{hh}^{\text{TOT}} \le \frac{\pi}{m_{\pi}^2} (\ln s)^2$$

Origin of growth of the cross section:

- Growth in the interaction area.
- Limited by the confinement.
- Strong force is short range: $R \rightarrow 1/m_{\pi}$

• Increase in the density of the projectile.

It is limited by the unitarity at fixed impact parameter. Probability of the interaction:



Parton saturation

energy of the interaction



Microscopic mechanism: p^+ $p^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E+p_z)$





























L.McLerran, R. Venugopalan

Single scattering: 2-point function, measures gluon density(number).



Single scattering: 2-point function, measures gluon density(number).



Double scattering: 4-point function, measures correlation.



Single scattering: 2-point function, measures gluon density(number).



Double scattering: 4-point function, measures correlation.



Color Glass Condensate: an effective theory derived from QCD in the limit of high energies and high densities which systematically incorporates higher correlators.

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity.

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H}W_Y$

 W_Y

distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H}W_Y$

distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(y_{\perp})} \eta(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(x_{\perp})}$$

 N_V

JIMWLK Hamiltonian

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H}W_Y$

distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(y_{\perp})} \eta(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(x_{\perp})}$$

 N_V

JIMWLK Hamiltonian

 $-\nabla_{\perp}^{2} A^{+}(x_{\perp}) = \rho(x_{\perp}) \qquad \qquad \text{Color charge(source)}$

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H}W_Y$

distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(y_{\perp})} \eta(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(x_{\perp})}$$

//V

JIMWLK Hamiltonian

 $-\nabla_{\perp}^2 A^+(x_{\perp}) = \rho(x_{\perp})$

Color charge(source)

Higher point correlators are included in the evolution.

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H} W_Y$

> distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(y_{\perp})} \eta(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(x_{\perp})}$$

JIMWLK Hamiltonian

Color charge(source)

Higher point correlators are included in the evolution.

 $-\nabla^2_\perp A^+(x_\perp) = \rho(x_\perp)$

Evolution resums leading powers of $\ln 1/x$.

 N_V

Can compute the evolution of the distribution of the color sources in the projectile with rapidity. $\frac{\partial W_Y}{\partial V} = \mathcal{H}W_Y$

distribution functional describes the color sources in the projectile at given rapidity

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}} \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(y_{\perp})} \eta(x_{\perp}, y_{\perp}) \frac{\delta}{\delta A^+(x_{\perp})}$$

JIMWLK Hamiltonian

 $-\nabla_{\perp}^{2} A^{+}(x_{\perp}) = \rho(x_{\perp}) \qquad \qquad \text{Color charge(source)}$

Higher point correlators are included in the evolution.

Evolution resums leading powers of In 1/x.

 $//_{V}$

For small densities in the projectile the evolution equation reduces to the BFKL evolution equation for the gluon density.

The evolution equation becomes nonlinear in density $\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$

 $\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky,Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert, Leonidov

$$\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky,Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert, Leonidov

Note: Compare with Verhulst logistic equation for the population dynamics.

$$\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky,Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert,

Leonidov

Note: Compare with Verhulst logistic equation for the population dynamics.

Linear term: gluon splitting, increase of the density.

$$\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky, Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert,

Leonidov

Note: Compare with Verhulst logistic equation for the population dynamics.

Linear term: gluon splitting, increase of the density.

Nonlinear term: gluon merging, slow down the growth of the density with the energy.

$$\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky,Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert, Leonidov

Note: Compare with Verhulst logistic equation for the population dynamics.

Linear term: gluon splitting, increase of the density.

Nonlinear term: gluon merging, slow down the growth of the density with the energy.

Equilibrium: nonlinear compensates the linear term.

$$\frac{df_g(x,k_T^2)}{d\ln 1/x} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \int d^2 k'_T \mathcal{K}(k_T,k'_T) f_g(x,k'_T)$$

$$-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} (f_g(x,k_T^2))^2$$

L.V.Gribov, E. Levin, M. Ryskin; Mueller,Qiu; I.Balitsky,Y.Kovchegov; J.Jalilian-Marian, E.Iancu,L.McLerran,H.Weigert, Leonidov

Note: Compare with Verhulst logistic equation for the population dynamics.

Linear term: gluon splitting, increase of the density.

Nonlinear term: gluon merging, slow down the growth of the density with the energy.

Equilibrium: nonlinear compensates the linear term.

parton saturation

Saturation scale



Saturation scale grows with A

- Probes interact over distances $L \sim$
- For $L > 2R_A \sim A^{1/3}$ high-energy probes interact coherently across nuclear size. Very large field strengths.



Saturation scale grows with A

- Probes interact over distances $L \sim$
- For $L > 2R_A \sim A^{1/3}$ high-energy probes interact coherently across nuclear size. Very large field strengths.



Pocket formula:

$$Q_s^2(x,A) \sim Q_0^2 \left(\frac{A}{x}\right)^{1/3}$$

Saturation scale grows with A

- Probes interact over distances $L \sim$
- For $L > 2R_A \sim A^{1/3}$ high-energy probes interact coherently across nuclear size. Very large field strengths.



105

10⁶

10⁴

Pocket formula:

$$Q_s^2(x,A) \sim Q_0^2 \left(\frac{A}{x}\right)^{1/3}$$

 $Q_S^{-2}\,(GeV^2)$

b=0 GeV⁻¹

Scattering off nuclei: Saturation is reached for smaller energies due to the enhancement from A.

Kowalski, Teaney

1

Hints of saturation at HERA and RHIC

Hints of saturation at HERA

Failure of standard description at low Q and x



Gluon density at small Q and small x.

 $Q^2 = 2 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$

 Strange behavior of the gluon density for small x and small Q. Gluon density largely unconstrained. Implications for longitudinal structure function.

Signal of the breakdown of linear DGLAP evolution?

 Higher twist/saturation, or resummation (of higher orders in ln 1/x) effects needed?

Geometrical scaling vs data

K.Golec-Biernat, M.Wusthoff

Saturation model: a few parameter model with a saturation scale and scaling property built in:

 $N(r, x) = N(r^2Q_s(x)^2)$ DIS ep $\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(Q^2/Q_s^2(x))$

At small x.

Geometrical scaling vs data

K.Golec-Biernat, J.Kwiecinski, A.Stasto

K.Golec-Biernat, M.Wusthoff

Saturation model: a few parameter model with a saturation scale and scaling property built in:

 $N(r, x) = N(r^2 Q_s(x)^2)$

DIS ep

 $\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(Q^2/Q_s^2(x))$

At small x.



Geometrical scaling vs data

K.Golec-Biernat, J.Kwiecinski, A.Stasto



At small x.
Geometrical scaling vs data

£

 $r_{tot}\gamma^{p}$

Saturation can play important role

only at very small scales or tau < 1.

It is likely that the scaling effect is a

combination of various effects

(saturation at the boundary

+evolution).

10⁻¹ 10⁻³ 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 1

K.Golec-Biernat, J.Kwiecinski, A.Stasto

DIS ep data at HERA

 $\tau = Q^2 / Q_s^2(x)$

10²

103

K.Golec-Biernat, M.Wusthoff

Saturation model: a few parameter model with a saturation scale and sca Datapoints with different property built in:

values of x and Q fall on the same curve for the same value of the scaling variable $N(r,x) = N(r^2 Q_s)$

DIS ep $\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(Q^2/Q_s^2(x))$

At small x.

Description of structure function F2 down to low photon virtualities



 Dipole models which are supplemented by the saturation describer the experimental data very well.

• Down to very low scales.

 Transition from large to low
Q is correctly reproduced by the models which include saturation.

Albacete, Armesto, Milhano, Salgado

Diffractive to inclusive ratio in ep



Naively:

 $\sigma^{incl} \sim xg(x,Q^2)$

$$\sigma^{diff} \sim xg(x,Q^2)^2$$

Therefore ratio should have nontrivial energy dependence.

Constant ratio naturally explained in the saturation model.

Is it the same ratio and still constant at higher energy?

Golec-Biernat, Wuesthoff

Hints from RHIC

Multiplicites in AA:



Saturation scale in nuclei

$$Q_{s,A}^2(x) = Q_{s,p}^2(x) \left(A \frac{R_p^2}{R_A^2} \right)^{\delta}$$

Extension of geometric scaling and saturation picture to nuclei.

Very good description of different kinds of nuclei (though limited statistics).

Nestor Armesto, Carlos A. Salgado, Urs Achim Wiedemann

Multiplicites in AA



 $\frac{dN^{AA}}{d\eta}(N_{part},\sqrt{s})$

Using CGC type of picture, kt factorization, supplemented by the centrality dependence.

Very good description for range of energies and centralities.

Questions remain: why the description is so good at low energies?

Multiplicites in AA



 $\frac{dN^{AA}}{d\eta}(N_{part},\sqrt{s})$

Using CGC type of picture, kt factorization, supplemented by the centrality dependence.

Very good description for range of energies and centralities.

Questions remain: why the description is so good at low energies?

Disappearance of Cronin enhancement



Ratios of pA and AA for particle yields as a function of transverse momenta normalized to pp spectra.

Small x evolution completely washes out the Cronin enhancement at forward rapidities.

Javier L. Albacete, Nestor Armesto, Alex Kovner, Carlos A. Salgado, Urs Achim Wiedemann

Saturation: phenomenology at RHIC



Kharzeev, Kovchegov, Tuchin

dAu : suppression of the transverse momentum distribution at forward rapidities

Very good agreement with the data!

Rapidity distributions



Kharzeev, Levin, Nardi

Two particle correlations in pA/dA Predictions from CGC $pA \rightarrow h_1h_2X$



Cyrille Marquet

Decreasing pt at fixed rapidity reduces the correlation in azimuthal angle. At LHC smaller values of x can be reached and so the decorrelation is bigger.

Consistent with the signals from RHIC

Is it really a signal of saturation? Dependence on centrality is crucial. x dependence should come out also from the linear type evolution: enhanced phase space, more emissions, more decorrelation.

- Electron as a clean probe of the proton/nucleus.
- Initial state measurement: direct extraction of the parton densities.
- If the energy of electron is changed, longitudinal structure function can be measured: direct constraint on the gluon density.
- Polarized structure functions.

- Electron as a clean probe of the proton/nucleus.
- Initial state measurement: direct extraction of the parton densities.
- If the energy of electron is changed, longitudinal structure function can be measured: direct constraint on the gluon density.
- Polarized structure functions.



- Electron as a clean probe of the proton/nucleus.
- Initial state measurement: direct extraction of the parton densities.
- If the energy of electron is changed, longitudinal structure function can be measured: direct constraint on the gluon density.
- Polarized structure functions.



EIC: Focus on nuclei. Measurement of the nuclear pdfs. Polarized option.

- Electron as a clean probe of the proton/nucleus.
- Initial state measurement: direct extraction of the parton densities.
- If the energy of electron is changed, longitudinal structure function can be measured: direct constraint on the gluon density.
- Polarized structure functions.



EIC: Focus on nuclei. Measurement of the nuclear pdfs. Polarized option.

LHeC: High energy. Extended kinematics. Nuclear option also possible.



Another look at Extrapolations of F₂

NNPDF parameter-free NLO DGLAP QCD fit ... uncertainty band explodes at low x and Q^2 $F_2(x,Q^2)$



Very wide range of possibilities allowed by pQCD whilst retaining a good fit to to HERA data

Constraining the Gluon with LHeC $F_{\rm 2}$ and $F_{\rm L}$



Including LHeC data in NNPDF DGLAP fit approach ...

... sizeable improvement in error on low x gluon when both LHeC F_2 & F_L data are included.

... but would DGLAP fits fail if non-linear effects present?

Can Parton Saturation be Established @ LHeC?

Simulated LHeC F_2 and F_L data based on a dipole model containing low x saturation (FS04-sat)...

... NNPDF (also HERA framework) DGLAP QCD fits cannot accommodate saturation effects if F_2 and F_L both fitted



... even with LHeC low x region, multiple ep (& eA) observables will be required for a clear picture of non-linear dynamics.

Ratio $R_{F_2} \equiv 2F_2^A / AF_2^D$ for A = Pb and A = Ca

Data from E665 collaboration

What we know now



Cazaroto, Carvalho, Goncalves, Navarra



Preliminary calculations of the ratios of gluon densities from N.Armesto et al. Huge difference between models at low x



Models tell us that the ratio is not larger than 1 for small x but can be anywhere between 0 and 1...

Completely new information could be gathered both from EIC and LHeC with nuclei

Preliminary calculations of the ratios of gluon densities from N.Armesto et al. Huge difference between models at low x



Models tell us that the ratio is not larger than 1 for small x but can be anywhere between 0 and 1...

Completely new information could be gathered both from EIC and LHeC with nuclei

Preliminary calculations of the ratios of gluon densities from N.Armesto et al. Huge difference between models at low x



Models tell us that the ratio is not larger than 1 for small x but can be anywhere between 0 and 1...

Completely new information could be gathered both from EIC and LHeC with nuclei

Diffraction in ep and eA

Diffraction



 $e+p \rightarrow e'+p'+X$

Proton stays intact and separated by a rapidity gap

 M^2 diffractive mass

 $t = (p - p')^2$ momentum transfer

 $\Delta \eta = \ln 1/x_{I\!P}$ Rapidity gap

$$x_{I\!\!P} = \frac{Q^2 + M^2 - t}{Q^2 + W^2}$$

momentum fraction of the Pomeron with respect to the hadron

$$\beta = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M^2 - t}$$

momentum fraction of the struck parton with respect to the Pomeron

 $x = x_{I\!\!P} \beta$ Bjorken x



contribution of different dipole sizes



Diffractive to inclusive ratio in ep



Constant ratio naturally explained in the saturation model.

Is it the same ratio and still constant at higher energy?

What happens in nuclei?

Diffraction at LHeC: new possibilities



- Studies with I degree acceptance,
- Diffractive-PDFs
- Factorization in much bigger range
- Diffractive masses $M_X \sim 100 \text{GeV}$ with $x_{I\!P} = 0.01$
- X can include W,Z,b



Forshaw, Marquet, Newman

Exclusive diffraction of VM

- Exclusive diffractive production of VM is an excellent process for extracting the dipole amplitude
- Suitable process for estimating the 'blackness' of the interaction.
- t-dependence provides an information about the impact parameter profile of the amplitude.



Differential cross section for exclusive VM production $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{16\pi} |\mathcal{A}_{el}(x, \Delta, Q)|^2$

Amplitude for elastic scattering

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm el}(x,\Delta,Q) = \sum_{h,\bar{h}} \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \, dz \, \psi_{\gamma^*}^{h,\bar{h}*}(z,\mathbf{r};Q) \, A_{\rm el}^{q\bar{q}-p}(x,\mathbf{r},\Delta) \, \psi_V^{h,\bar{h}}(z,\mathbf{r})$$

Elementary amplitude for elastic scattering

$$A_{\rm el}^{q\bar{q}-p}(x,\mathbf{r},\Delta) = \int d^2\mathbf{b} \,\tilde{A}_{\rm el}^{q\bar{q}-p}(x,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b})e^{i\mathbf{b}\Delta} = 2\int d^2\mathbf{b} \,[1-S(x,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b})]e^{i\mathbf{b}\Delta}$$

Optical theorem

$$\sigma_{\rm tot}^{q\bar{q}-p}(x,\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{I}m \, i A_{\rm el}^{q\bar{q}-p}(x,\mathbf{r},\Delta=0).$$

Impact parameter profile



Extrapolations of the S matrix towards lower values of x

 $1 - S^2$

probability that a dipole passing the proton will induce an inelastic reaction at the given impact parameter

Models indicate significant 'blackness' for central impact parameter

 $Q^2 \sim 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ $x = 10^{-5} - 10^{-6}$

e.g. J/ψ Photoproduction

e.g. "b-Sat" Dipole model [Golec-Biernat, Wuesthoff, Bartels, Teaney, Kowalski, Motyka, Watt] ... "eikonalised": with impact-parameter dependent saturation

"1 Pomeron": non-saturating



Significant non-linear effects expected even for t-integrated fx cross section in LHeC kinematic range.

w

g g g g g

р

V

р

Elastic J/ψ Production more Differentially



Diffraction in eA

Two possibilities for the diffractive events in nuclei:





Coherent No-breakup Incoherent With breakup into nucleons The gap is still there

Diffractive to inclusive ratio in eA



Cazaroto, Carvalho, Goncalves, Navarra



Kowalski, Lappi, Venugopalan



Summary

- What have we learned from DIS at HERA:
 - fast growth of structure functions at small x
 - large gluon density
 - large number of diffractive events
- New ep/eA collider needed to:
 - explore new kinematic regime at yet smaller values of x
 - pin down the position of the saturation scale, establish the transition region between dilute and dense regime
 - measure the initial state for AA collisions, parton densities in nuclei
 - measure the transverse shape of the nucleus
 - impact of high densities on spin structure